

#### Thomas A. Schweich

**Missouri State Auditor** 

# Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act Seizures

February 2011 Report No. 2011-08



auditor.mo.gov

#### CITIZENS SUMMARY

#### **Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act Seizures**

Disposition of Seized Property

Missouri's Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act (CAFA) requires prosecuting attorneys and the Attorney General to submit an annual report to the State Auditor's office summarizing the disposition of all property, including cash, seized pursuant to state law. The CAFA also requires all law enforcement agencies participating in the federal forfeiture system to acquire an independent audit of the federal seizures and proceeds received and to provide a copy of such audit to the State Auditor's office.

These requirements are not being met. The State Auditor's office did not receive *any* of the specific independent audit reports from law enforcement agencies required by Section 513.653, RSMo, and prosecuting attorneys from the following counties failed to submit the report required by Section 513.607, RSMo: Benton, Carter, Gentry, Montgomery, Perry, Saline, Washington, Wayne, and Webster.

Without the required reports, it is impossible to accurately value the property seized within Missouri's borders and determine what percentage of that property is being turned over to federal agencies rather than going to the benefit of Missouri schools. It is estimated, however, that tens of millions of dollars were seized within the confines of Missouri's borders in 2010, but less than \$26,000 went to Missouri public schools. Another \$300,000 was returned to the owner and almost \$1 million remained pending at this writing.

**Current Law** 

The state of Missouri and the federal government have very different procedures for the seizure and forfeiture of property associated with criminal activity.

Under current Missouri law, property seized in connection with a crime is held until the criminal process is complete. If no criminal conviction is obtained, the property must be returned to the owner. If a criminal conviction is obtained, all proceeds from the forfeited property must go to the benefit of Missouri's public school building revolving fund.

Under the federal forfeiture system, no criminal conviction is required. Property seized in connection with a crime is subject to a civil forfeiture proceeding and can be forfeited upon a much lower showing than in a criminal proceeding. Missouri's public schools do not receive any proceeds from forfeited property in the federal system. Instead, these proceeds benefit the federal government, except that a Missouri law enforcement agency assisting with the arrest may receive a small percentage of the proceeds in a practice called "equitable sharing."

There are two ways in which property seized within Missouri's borders may be transferred to a federal agency. After an arrest is made by a Missouri law

All reports are available on our website: http://auditor.mo.gov

#### **CITIZENS SUMMARY**

enforcement agency, the prosecuting attorney may determine that the underlying crime is truly a federal crime, such as a crime occurring in multiple states, and transfer the seized property and prosecutorial responsibility to a federal agency. In 2010, of the \$5.7 million seized under state law, over \$4 million was transferred to a federal agency in this manner.

Alternatively, Missouri law enforcement agencies sometime contact a federal agency to make the seizure directly. Because law enforcement agencies did not submit the statutorily-required reports, the value of such federal seizures is unknown, but it is estimated to be in the tens of millions based upon the amount of equitable sharing received by Missouri law enforcement agencies.

#### Importance of Reports

The required reports are necessary to ensure transparency and accountability and to allow an informed dialogue regarding possible reform. The State Auditor's office has sent a letter to those prosecuting attorneys and law enforcement agencies who failed to comply, reminding them of their statutory obligations.

Because of the nature of this compilation, no overall rating is provided.

# Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act Seizures Table of Contents

State Auditor's Report			
Executive Summary			
Appendixes	<u>Ap</u>	<u>pendix</u>	
	I.	2010 CAFA Seizures Disposition Reported	
	П	2010 CAFA Seizures Required Information Reported	(



#### THOMAS A. SCHWEICH

#### **Missouri State Auditor**

Honorable Jeremiah W. (Jay) Nixon, Governor and Members of the General Assembly Jefferson City, Missouri

As required by Section 513.607, RSMo, we have compiled the 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act (CAFA) seizure reports submitted to the State Auditor by prosecuting attorneys and the Attorney General. A compilation is limited to presenting information that is submitted. We have not audited the reports submitted and, accordingly, do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on them. The primary objectives of this compilation were to:

- 1. Identify those officials who submitted 2010 CAFA seizure reports to the State Auditor.
- 2. Summarize the 2010 CAFA seizure information reported.

Section 513.607, RSMo, requires prosecuting attorneys and the Attorney General to report CAFA seizures for the previous calendar year by January 31, to both the Director of the Department of Public Safety and the State Auditor. We received 89.6 percent of the CAFA seizure reports by the required due date of January 31, 2011.

The State Auditor has not received any specific audit reports from law enforcement agencies as required by Section 513.653, RSMo. Law enforcement agencies involved in using the federal forfeiture system are required to acquire an independent audit of the federal seizures and proceeds received and provide such audit to the law enforcement agency's respective governing body and to the Director of Public Safety. A copy of such audit is also to be provided to the State Auditor's office.

Thomas A. Schweich State Auditor

Thomas A Schwoll

The following auditors participated in the preparation of this report:

Director of Audits: Alice M. Fast, CPA, CGFM, CIA

In-Charge Auditor: Becky Webb, CPA
Audit Staff: Dana Wansing

## Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act Seizures Executive Summary

The state Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act (CAFA) is defined in Sections 513.600 to 513.653, RSMo.

## Compliance with Submitting CAFA Seizures Reports

The State Auditor received a total of 107 (92.2 percent) of the 116 CAFA seizure reports required by Section 513.607, RSMo, from prosecuting attorneys and the Attorney General for property seized in calendar year 2010. Of the 107 reports received, 104 of the reports were received by the January 31, 2011 deadline. The following table lists the total number of CAFA seizure reports submitted to the State Auditor for 2010, 2009, and 2008.

Reporting Status	2	2010	2	009 1	2008		
By January 31	104	89.6 %	100	86.2 %	98	84.5 %	
After January 31	3	2.6	6	5.2	15	12.9	
Total Reported	107	92.2	106	91.4	113	97.4	
Failed to Report	9	7.8	10	8.6	3	2.6	
Total Reports Required	116	100.0 %	116	100.0 %	116	100.0 %	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Includes a CAFA seizure report submitted by a prosecuting attorney to the State Auditor after the 2009 report was issued. This report included only the disposition of a seizure prior to 2009.

#### Prosecutors that Failed to Report 2010 CAFA Seizures or Submitted Report Late

The following table lists the prosecuting attorneys that either submitted the 2010 CAFA seizure report after January 31, 2011, or failed to submit a 2010 CAFA seizure report. Section 513.607.10, RSMo, states intentional or knowing failure to comply with any reporting requirement shall be a class A misdemeanor punishable by a fine of up to \$1,000.

Prosecuting	Failed to	Submitted
Attorney	Report	Late
Benton	X	
Carter	X	
Dent		X
Gentry	X	
Montgomery	X	
Perry	X	
Saline	X	
Ste. Genevieve		X
Vernon		X
Washington	X	
Wayne	X	
Webster	X	
Total	9	3



#### Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act Seizures Executive Summary

In addition, the DPS was contacted to determine CAFA Seizure reports received by the DPS. We noted 7 counties (Callaway, Clark, DeKalb, Moniteau, Scott, Sullivan and Texas) submitted the required copy of the CAFA Seizure Report to the State Auditor but did not submit a copy to the DPS.

## Disposition of the Seizures Reported

The following table lists the dollar value of the disposition of seizures reported.

Reported Disposition <sup>2</sup>	2010		)	2009	2008		
Pending	\$	971,398	17 % \$	2,383,413	42 % \$	1,377,108	20 %
Returned		291,638	5	237,730	4	179,582	3
Transferred to Federal Agency		4,255,770	75	2,761,010	49	5,183,935	75
Transferred to State		25,974	0	30,673	1	58,532	1
Disposition Not Reported		5,430	0	85,876	2	83,979	1
Other		152,129	3	120,833	2	0	0
Total	\$	5,702,339	100 % \$	5,619,535	100 % \$	6,883,136	100 %

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The disposition of the CAFA seizures reported was compiled from the reports submitted by the prosecuting attorneys and the Attorney General.

See Appendix I for a list of the 2010 CAFA seizures disposition reported by each prosecuting attorney and the Attorney General.

### Compliance with Reporting the Required Information

Section 513.607.8, RSMo, requires prosecuting attorneys and the Attorney General to report the date, time, and place of the seizure; property seized; estimated value of the property seized; person(s) from whom the property was seized; criminal charges filed; and disposition of the seizure, forfeiture, and criminal actions. The following table lists the required information for the CAFA seizure reports received and the number of cases that reported each required item. Some reports did not include all of the required information; therefore, our compilation includes only the information reported.

Required Information		10	20	09	2008		
Date	460	99.6 %	442	99.8 %	1,136	99.6 %	
Time	312	67.5	322	72.7	960	84.1	
Place of Seizure	456	98.7	436	98.4	1,131	99.1	
Property Seized	462	100.0	443	100.0	1,140	99.9	
Estimated Value of Property Seized	435	94.2	431	97.3	1,119	98.1	
Person(s) Property Seized From	462	100.0	443	100.0	140	12.3	
Criminal Charges Filed	228	49.4	225	50.8	943	82.6	
Disposition of Seizure	462	100.0	443	100.0	1,137	99.6	
Disposition of Criminal Actions	367	79.4	380	85.8	1,000	87.6	
Total Cases Reported	462	100.0 %	443	100.0 %	1,141	100.0 %	



#### Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act Seizures Executive Summary

The decrease in the number of seizures from 2008 to 2009 appears primarily due to the fluctuation in the number of seizures reported by St. Louis City. In 2008, St. Louis City reported 702 seizures including 583 vehicles. In 2009, St. Louis City reported 66 seizures with no vehicle seizures reported.

See Appendix II for a list of the number of 2010 CAFA seizure cases that contained the required information reported for each prosecuting attorney and the Attorney General.

# Compliance with Submitting Federal Forfeiture Audit Reports

The State Auditor has not received any specific independent audit reports from law enforcement agencies required by Section 513.653, RSMo. Law enforcement agencies involved in using the federal forfeiture system are required to acquire an independent audit of the federal seizures and proceeds received and provide such audit to the law enforcement agency's respective governing body and to the DPS. A copy of such audit is also to be provided to the State Auditor's office.

Section 513.653.2, RSMo, states intentional or knowing failure to comply with the audit requirement shall be a class A misdemeanor, punishable by a fine of up to \$1,000.

#### Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Seizure Act Appendix I 2010 CAFA Seizures Disposition Reported

	Estimated <sup>1</sup> Value of	Status Reported as of December 31, 2010									
	all Cases			Transferred to	Transferred	Not					
Reporting Entity	Reported	Pending	Returned	Federal Agency	to State	Reported	Other				
Adair	\$ 0						_				
Andrew	12,000		12,000								
Atchison	9,356	9,356									
Attorney General	0										
Audrain	4,785	4,785									
Barry	1,653	1,653									
Barton	0										
Bates	1,830		1,830								
Benton	DNF										
Bollinger	0										
Boone	27,112	13,785	3,347	8,815		1,165					
Buchanan	16,168	13,733			2,435						
Butler	6,686			6,686							
Caldwell	0										
Callaway	32,889	32,889									
Camden	1,114	1,114									
Cape Girardeau	1,484		1,484								
Carroll	0										
Carter	DNF										
Cass	5,849	2,349	3,500								
Cedar	0										
Chariton	1,800		1,800								
Christian	20,982	8,077	12,905								
Clark	0										
Clay	9,345	9,345									
Clinton	0										
Cole	49,439	18,393	737	29,300	1,009						
Cooper	5,503	5,503									
Crawford	0										
Dade	496	496									
Dallas	0										
Daviess	0										
Dekalb	0										
Dent	2,500	2,500									
Douglas	0	,									
Dunklin	23,985	12,000		11,985							
Franklin	29,844	29,844		,							
Gasconade	1,000	1,000									
Gentry	DNF	,									
Greene	43,331	31,731	11,600								
Grundy	9,294	9,232	,			62					
Harrison	1,702	1,102			600						
Henry	0	,									
•											

#### Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Seizure Act Appendix I 2010 CAFA Seizures Disposition Reported

	Estimated <sup>1</sup> Value of	Status Reported as of December 31, 2010										
	all Cases			Transferred to	Transferred	Not						
Reporting Entity	Reported	Pending	Returned	Federal Agency	to State	Reported	Other					
Hickory	0											
Holt	0											
Howard	0											
Howell	8,075	8,075										
Iron	0											
Jackson	202,612	177,769	24,843									
Jasper	52,244	42,795		9,449								
Jefferson	181,080	45,389	29,562	93,180	12,949							
Johnson	17,473	17,473										
Knox	0											
Laclede	92,655	92,130				525						
Lafayette	31,032	27,164					3,868					
Lawrence	25,448	25,448										
Lewis	0											
Lincoln	36,466	12,585	23,511		370							
Linn	1,732	1,732	,									
Livingston	0	,										
Macon	0											
Madison	3,360	3,360										
Maries	3,720	3,720										
Marion	0	2,720										
McDonald	0											
Mercer	0											
Miller	4,500	4,500										
Mississippi	0	1,500										
Moniteau	0											
Monroe	0											
Montgomery	DNF											
Morgan	0											
New Madrid	9,298		4,098	5,200								
Newton	15,192	13,454	4,090	3,200		1,738						
Nodaway	0	13,434				1,736						
Oregon	2,000	2,000										
Osage	2,000	2,000										
Ozark	1,940					1,940						
	1,940					1,940						
Pemiscot												
Perry	DNF	10 101										
Pettis	18,101	18,101		2.010.250								
Phelps	2,018,360			2,018,360	1 110							
Pike	1,112	7.415			1,112							
Platte	7,417	7,417										
Polk	0											
Pulaski	0											

#### Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Seizure Act Appendix I 2010 CAFA Seizures Disposition Reported

	Estimated <sup>1</sup> Value of		Statu	s Reported as of De	ecember 31, 2	010	
	all Cases			Transferred to	Transferred	Not	
Reporting Entity	Reported	Pending	Returned	Federal Agency	to State	Reported	Other
Putnam	0						
Ralls	0						
Randolph	1,819	1,819					
Ray	8,110		8,110				
Reynolds	2,635	2,635					
Ripley	0						
Saline	DNF						
Schuyler	0						
Scotland	0						
Scott	11,607			9,526	2,081		
Shannon	5,580	5,580					
Shelby	0						
St. Charles	2,078,539	38,117	107,190	1,933,232			
St. Clair	0						
St. Francois	6,921	6,921					
St. Louis County	312,484	180,629	1,450	130,037	368		
St. Louis City	148,261						148,261
Ste. Genevieve	3,926	1,055	2,671		200		
Stoddard	0						
Stone	0						
Sullivan	0						
Taney	48,411	7,411	41,000				
Texas	0						
Vernon	11,217	11,217					
Warren	4,850				4,850		
Washington	DNF						
Wayne	DNF						
Webster	DNF						
Worth	0						
Wright	4,015	4,015					
	\$ 5,702,339	971,398	291,638	4,255,770	25,974	5,430	152,129

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> DNF - Did not file a 2010 CAFA seizure report with the State Auditor's Office.

This appendix compiles only the information reported to the State Auditor by prosecuting attorneys and the Attorney General, we did not verify this data. In analyzing this appendix, some disparity may result due to the different methods used by the various prosecuting attorneys and the Attorney General in presenting essentially the same information. In addition, not all seizure reports included an estimated value of the property seized and not all reports included the disposition of all seizures reported.

#### Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act Seizures Appendix II 2010 CAFA Seizures Required Information Reported

			1	Numbe	r of Case	s that Rep	orted Requ	ired Inform	nation		
		Estimated				-	Estimated			-	
	Number of <sup>1</sup>	Value of All					Value of	Property		Disp	osition
	Cases	Cases				Property		Seized	Charges		Criminal
Reporting Entity	Reported		Date	Time	Seized	Seized	Seized	From	Filed	Seizure	Actions
Adair	0 \$			_		_		_	_	_	
Andrew	2	12,000	2					2			
Atchison	2	9,356	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Attorney General	0	0									
Audrain	2	4,785	2				2	2			
Barry	2	1,653	2	1	2	2	2	2		2	1
Barton	0	0									
Bates	1	1,830	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Benton	DNF	DNF									
Bollinger	0	0									
Boone	15	27,112	15		13	15	15	15			
Buchanan	7	16,168	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	3
Butler	1	6,686	1		1	1	1	1		1	1
Caldwell	0										
Callaway	1	32,889	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Camden	1	1,114	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	
Cape Girardeau	1	1,484	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Carroll	0	0									
Carter	DNF	DNF									
Cass	3	5,849	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Cedar	0	0									
Chariton	1	1,800	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Christian	4	20,982	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	2
Clark	0	0									
Clay	4	9,345	4	4	4	4	4	4		4	
Clinton	0	0									
Cole	16	49,439	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
Cooper	1	5,503	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Crawford	0	0									
Dade	1	496	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Dallas	0	0									
Daviess	0	0									
Dekalb	0	0									
Dent	1	2,500	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Douglas	0	0									
Dunklin	3	23,985	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Franklin	8	29,844	8	6	8	8	8	8	6	8	
Gasconade	1	1,000	1		1	1	1	1	1		
Gentry	DNF	DNF									
Greene	11	43,331	11	6	10	11	3	11	4	11	11
Grundy	3	9,294					3	3			
Harrison	2	1,702						2			
Henry	0	0									
•	*	_									

#### Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act Seizures Appendix II 2010 CAFA Seizures Required Information Reported

			N	Number	of Case	s that Rep	orted Requ	ired Inform	nation		
		Estimated					Estimated			_	
	Number of <sup>1</sup>	Value of All				_	Value of	Property		Disp	osition
D E	Cases	Cases	Б.	æ.		Property		Seized	Charges	<b>a</b> :	Criminal
Reporting Entity	Reported			Time	Seized	Seized	Seized	From	Filed	Seizure	Actions
Hickory	0	0									
Holt Howard	0	0									
Howell	2	8,075	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Iron	0	0,073	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Jackson	61	202,612	61	55	61	61	61	61	7	61	61
Jasper	9	52,244	9		9	9	9	9			
Jefferson	22	181,080	21		21			22			
Johnson	4	17,473	4		4			4		4	
Knox	0	0			•	•	•	•	1		1
Laclede	3	92,655	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Lafayette	7	31,032	7		7		7	7			
Lawrence	3	25,448	3		3			3			
Lewis	0	0									
Lincoln	12	36,466	12		12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Linn	2	1,732	2		2			2			
Livingston	0	0									
Macon	0	0									
Madison	1	3,360	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Maries	1	3,720	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Marion	0	0									
McDonald	0	0									
Mercer	0	0									
Miller	1	4,500	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Mississippi	0	0									
Moniteau	0	0									
Monroe	0	0									
Montgomery	DNF	DNF									
Morgan	0	0									
New Madrid	2	9,298	2	1	2	2		2			2
Newton	4	15,192	4		4	4	3	4	4	4	4
Nodaway	0	0									
Oregon	1	2,000	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Osage	0	0									
Ozark	1	1,940				1	1	1	1	1	
Pemiscot	0	0									
Perry	DNF	DNF	_			_		_		_	
Pettis	3	18,101	3		3			3			
Phelps	9	2,018,360						9			
Pike	4	1,112			4			4			
Platte	4	7,417		4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Polk	0	0									
Pulaski	0	0									

#### Compilation of 2010 Criminal Activity Forfeiture Act Seizures Appendix II 2010 CAFA Seizures Required Information Reported

			N	umber	of Case	s that Rep	orted Requ	ired Inform	nation		
		Estimated					Estimated	Person(s)		-	
	Number of <sup>1</sup>	Value of All					Value of		Criminal	Disp	osition
	Cases	Cases				Property	Property	Seized	Charges		Criminal
Reporting Entity	Reported	Reported	Date	Time	Seized	Seized	Seized	From	Filed	Seizure	Actions
Putnam	0	0									
Ralls	0	0									
Randolph	4	1,819	4		4		4	4		4	
Ray	2	8,110	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Reynolds	1	2,635	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Ripley	0	0									
Saline	DNF	DNF									
Schuyler	0	0									
Scotland	0	0									
Scott	5	11,607	5		5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Shannon	1	5,580	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Shelby	0	0									
St. Charles	36	2,078,539	36	36	36	36	36	36	6	36	7
St. Clair	3	0	3		3	3		3	3	3	3
St. Francois	6	6,921	6		6	6	4	6	4	6	6
St. Louis City	40	148,261	40	39	40	40	39	40		40	40
St. Louis County	80	312,484	80	74	79	80	74	80	12	80	80
Ste. Genevieve	4	3,926	4		4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Stoddard	0	0									
Stone	0	0									
Sullivan	0	0									
Taney	20	48,411	20		20	20	15	20	16	20	6
Texas	0	0									
Vernon	8	11,217	8		8	8	8	8	8	8	8
Warren	1	4,850	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Washington	DNF	DNF									
Wayne	DNF	DNF									
Webster	DNF	DNF									
Worth	0	0									
Wright	1	4,015	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
_	462 \$	5,702,339	460	312	456	462	435	462	228	462	367

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}\,{\rm DNF}$  - Did not file a 2010 CAFA Seizure report with the State Auditor's Office.

This appendix compiles the total number of 2010 CAFA seizure cases, the total estimated value of cases reported, and the number of cases that reported the required information by each prosecuting attorney and the Attorney General, we did not verify this data. In analyzing this appendix, some disparity may result due to the different methods used by the various prosecuting attorneys and the Attorney General in presenting essentially the same information.