

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Morgan County, Missouri

The Office of the State Auditor contracted for an audit of Morgan County's financial statements for the 2 years ended December 31, 2020, through the state Office of Administration, Division of Purchasing and Materials Management. The audit includes an audit of each county officer in fulfillment of our duties under Section 29.230.1, RSMo. A copy of this audit, performed by Stopp & VanHoy, Certified Public Accountants, and Business Advisors, LLC, is attached.

Nicole R. Galloway, CPA State Auditor

October 2021 Report No. 2021-084



RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

Recommendations in the contracted audit of Morgan County

2020-001	The county periodically review its actual expenditures as compared to budgeted amounts. An amended budget should be prepared and approved as necessary to comply with statutes. Additionally, the county should prepare a budget for all applicable funds.
2020-002	The Morgan County Senate Bill 40 Board review the accuracy of prepared budgets prior to adoption by the Board. Additionally, the Board should continue to monitor budgets on a routine basis in comparison to actual revenues and disbursements to determine if any amendments should be made.
2020-003	The county address various risks in the environment, including the risk of fraud occurring by performing assessments to identify, analyze, and manage these risks.
2020-004	The County Clerk implement the necessary procedures to ensure that the bank balance reconciles to the book balance on a monthly basis.
2020-005	In order to ensure that transfers are properly reported and in balance, we recommend transfers out always be accompanied by an equal transfer in and that the transfers be clearly identified in the accounting system and on the annual budget.
2020-006	Management develop internal controls over reporting and consult with outside accountants, if possible, to ensure an accurate Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) is prepared.

The County of Morgan Versailles, Missouri Independent Auditor's Report and Financial Statements For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019



The County of Morgan Versailles, Missouri Table of Contents

_	Page
Financial Section	
Independent Auditor's Report.	1
Financial Statements:	
Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash	
All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis	
For the year ended December 31, 2020.	4
For the year ended December 31, 2019.	5
Comparative Statements of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash	
Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis	
For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019.	6
Statements of Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions - Custodial Funds - Regulatory Basis	21
Notes to the Financial Statements.	22
Federal Compliance Section	
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance	
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with	
Government Auditing Standards	32
Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program and Report on	
Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with the Uniform Guidance	34
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.	37
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	39
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.	40
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs	45



Independent Auditor's Report

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Morgan County, Missouri

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Morgan County, Missouri, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Morgan County, Missouri's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law to demonstrate compliance with the State of Missouri's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statements, the financial statements are prepared by Morgan County, Missouri on the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law to

demonstrate compliance with the State of Missouri's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statements of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in *the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* paragraph, the financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of each fund of Morgan County, Missouri as of December 31, 2020 and 2019, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the years then ended.

Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the cash balances of each fund of Morgan County, Missouri as of December 31, 2020 and 2019, and their respective cash receipts and disbursements, and budgetary results for the years then ended in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law as described in Note 1.

Other Matters

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Morgan County, Missouri's basic financial statements. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, as required by the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated August 16, 2021 on our consideration of Morgan County, Missouri's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an

opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Morgan County, Missouri's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Creve Coeur, Missouri

Stopp & Vantlay

August 16, 2021

Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

For the year ended December 31, 2020

Fund		and Equivalents uary 1, 2020	Receipts 2020]	Disbursements 2020	and Equivalents ember 31, 2020
General Revenue	_	\$ 3,493,773	\$ 3,465,022	\$	2,551,649	\$ 4,407,146
Special Road and Bridge		618,607	1,833,288		1,862,276	589,619
Assessment		231,012	492,235		466,885	256,362
Law Enforcement Training		12,151	1,308		3,652	9,807
Prosecuting Attorney Training		1,113	1,510		1,000	1,623
Johnson Grass		134,876	1,880		2,641	134,115
911		-	656,021		656,021	_
Local Emergency Planning Committee		10,349	-		-	10,349
Prosecuting Attorney Delinquent Sales Tax		7,440	807		-	8,247
Recorder User Fees		43,580	25,425		28,500	40,505
Domestic Violence		5,253	3,169		2,400	6,022
Administrative Handling Cost		10,809	4,613		7,030	8,392
Law Enforcement Sales Tax		2,101,987	3,518,492		3,640,326	1,980,153
NID Debt Service Bond		13,635	21,066		18,421	16,280
NID Ongoing Maintenance & Construction		3,684,451	626,058		5,820	4,304,689
Sheriff Fees		29,468	11,194		3,924	36,738
Election Services		14,945	6,894		=	21,839
County Law Enforcement Restitution		53,125	44,232		53,400	43,957
Sheriff Revolving		65,825	22,188		13,001	75,012
Inmate Security		72,956	199,713		168,460	104,209
Help America Vote Act		25,386	52,380		24,450	53,316
Tax Maintenance		69,437	55,494		43,795	81,136
Little Proctor Road Overlay		112,234	1,565		=	113,799
Coronavirus Relief		-	2,430,791		2,375,568	55,223
Senior Citizens Services Board		133,302	237,713		196,206	174,809
Senate Bill 40 Board	_	537,807	 669,307		485,905	 721,209
Tot	al	\$ 11,483,521	\$ 14,382,365	\$	12,611,330	\$ 13,254,556

Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

For the year ended December 31, 2019

Fund		and Equivalents uary 1, 2019		Receipts 2019	Disbursements 2019		and Equivalents
General Revenue	\$	2,925,004	\$	2,870,259	\$ 2,301,490	\$	3,493,773
Special Road and Bridge	Ψ	625,120	Ψ	2,147,090	2,153,603	Ψ	618,607
Assessment		210,056		478,670	457,714		231,012
Law Enforcement Training		11,190		1,951	990		12,151
Prosecuting Attorney Training		943		920	750		1,113
Johnson Grass		135,539		1,451	2,114		134,876
911		38,207		647,931	686,138		-
Local Emergency Planning Committee		10,349		-	-		10,349
Prosecuting Attorney Delinquent Sales Tax		7,312		128	-		7,440
Recorder User Fees		33,422		27,258	17,100		43,580
Domestic Violence		3,855		3,698	2,300		5,253
Administrative Handling Cost		10,524		7,322	7,037		10,809
Law Enforcement Sales Tax		1,725,616		4,187,150	3,810,779		2,101,987
NID Debt Service Bond		25,183		19,892	31,440		13,635
NID Ongoing Maintenance & Construction		3,099,754		584,697	-		3,684,451
Sheriff Fees		25,620		30,876	27,028		29,468
Election Services		8,939		6,006	-		14,945
County Law Enforcement Restitution		52,565		56,460	55,900		53,125
Sheriff Revolving		58,802		13,322	6,299		65,825
Inmate Security		92,205		174,238	193,487		72,956
Help America Vote Act		22,297		3,089	-		25,386
Tax Maintenance		73,575		52,579	56,717		69,437
Little Proctor Road Overlay		111,042		1,192	-		112,234
Coronavirus Relief		-		-	-		-
Senior Citizens Services Board		117,204		216,832	200,734		133,302
Senate Bill 40 Board		383,430		679,559	525,182		537,807
То	tal \$	9,807,753	\$	12,212,570	\$ 10,536,802	\$	11,483,521

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

			General Re	venue	Fund		
	20	20			20	19	
	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts							
Property Taxes	\$ 575,000	\$	626,796	\$	600,000	\$	555,496
Sales Taxes	1,450,000		1,682,467		1,500,000		1,461,350
Intergovernmental	69,000		85,757		94,000		68,854
Charges for Services	592,500		707,917		603,000		610,864
Interest	30,000		53,380		20,000		35,315
Other Receipts	66,900		147,938		81,587		69,980
Transfers In	 153,400		160,767		70,000		68,400
Total Receipts	2,936,800		3,465,022		2,968,587		2,870,259
<u>Disbursements</u>							
County Commission	133,549		129,015		130,355		127,504
County Clerk	138,989		129,337		140,049		110,731
Elections	157,600		66,195		36,500		47,751
Buildings and Grounds	88,964		89,164		91,177		79,340
Employee Fringe Benefits	204,100		204,022		200,500		192,526
County Treasurer	50,305		48,709		50,305		48,853
County Collector	153,868		154,267		149,336		147,859
Recorder of Deeds	115,646		120,556		114,862		101,621
Circuit Clerk	60,944		52,282		58,630		50,212
Court Administration	12,000		1,347		10,000		7,504
Public Administrator	99,786		90,285		93,483		86,258
Sheriff	-		-		-		12,100
Prosecuting Attorney	516,193		513,673		470,393		471,571
Juvenile Officer	84,024		74,709		84,024		65,088
County Coroner	55,231		42,200		54,231		34,946
Other Disbursements	692,807		589,343		787,508		490,602
Transfers Out	555,569		246,545		449,684		227,024
Emergency Fund	90,000		-		90,000		-
Total Disbursements	 3,209,575		2,551,649	-	3,011,037		2,301,490
Receipts Over (Under)							
Disbursements	\$ (272,775)	\$	913,373	\$	(42,450)	\$	568,769
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1	 3,493,773		3,493,773		2,925,004		2,925,004
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$ 3,220,998	\$	4,407,146	\$	2,882,554	\$	3,493,773

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				Special Road an	nd Brid	dge Fund		
		20	20	•		20	19	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts								
Property Taxes	\$	410,000	\$	485,101	\$	845,000	\$	779,322
Sales Taxes		265,000		273,610		265,000		269,242
Intergovernmental		1,060,000		1,012,966		1,140,000		1,075,599
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-
Interest		8,500		11,519		6,000		8,119
Other Receipts		7,000		46,032		7,000		14,808
Transfers In		150,000		4,060		-		-
Total Receipts	<u> </u>	1,900,500		1,833,288		2,263,000		2,147,090
<u>Disbursements</u>								
Salaries		700,000		664,244		682,395		625,787
Employee Fringe Benefits		165,500		175,211		166,000		150,594
Supplies		215,000		185,479		222,000		211,561
Insurance		45,000		67,017		46,000		113,469
Road and Bridge Materials		370,000		356,717		280,000		332,855
Equipment Repairs		95,000		180,682		80,000		99,587
Equipment Purchases		275,000		45,875		750,000		445,365
Road and Bridge Construction		110,000		47,930		175,000		35,079
Other Disbursements		135,000		139,121		142,000		139,306
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-
Total Disbursements		2,110,500		1,862,276		2,543,395		2,153,603
Receipts Over (Under)								
Disbursements	\$	(210,000)	\$	(28,988)	\$	(280,395)	\$	(6,513)
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		618,607		618,607		625,120		625,120
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	408,607	\$	589,619	\$	344,725	\$	618,607

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			Assessm	ent Fun	d		
	20	20			20	19	
	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts	_		_				_
Property Taxes	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes	-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental	448,440		466,724		435,000		463,506
Charges for Services	-		_		-		-
Interest	2,000		5,100		1,500		3,152
Other Receipts	10,000		20,411		10,000		12,012
Transfers In	 				<u>-</u> _		
Total Receipts	460,440		492,235		446,500		478,670
<u>Disbursements</u>							
Salaries	329,876		325,771		293,721		291,776
Employee Fringe Benefits	60,900		66,089		63,400		59,125
Materials and Supplies	21,000		24,302		33,000		24,396
Services	86,772		50,620		76,050		82,323
Other Disbursements	1,000		103		1,000		94
Capital Outlay	_		-		-		-
Transfers Out	_		-		-		-
Total Disbursements	 499,548		466,885		467,171		457,714
Receipts Over (Under)							
Disbursements	\$ (39,108)	\$	25,350	\$	(20,671)	\$	20,956
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1	 231,012		231,012		210,056		210,056
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$ 191,904	\$	256,362	\$	189,385	\$	231,012

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Law Enforcement Training Fund										Prosecuting Attorney Training Fund					
		2020 Budget Actual				20	19			20	20			20	19	
]	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual	Е	Budget		Actual	I	Budget	A	Actual
<u>Receipts</u>																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	=	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		=		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Charges for Services		2,000		1,162		2,000		1,822		800		1,491		550		910
Interest		-		146		-		129		10		19		10		10
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers In		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Receipts		2,000		1,308		2,000		1,951		810		1,510		560		920
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		-		-		=		-		-		-		-		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Services		4,000		3,652		2,000		990		500		1,000		500		750
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		=		-		-		-		-
Transfers Out		-		-		-				-		-		-		=
Total Disbursements		4,000		3,652		2,000		990		500		1,000		500		750
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(2,000)	\$	(2,344)	\$	-	\$	961	\$	310	\$	510	\$	60	\$	170
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		12,151		12,151		11,190		11,190		1,113		1,113		943		943
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	10,151	\$	9,807	\$	11,190	\$	12,151	\$	1,423	\$	1,623	\$	1,003	\$	1,113

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Johnson Grass Fund 911 Fund													
		20	20			20	19		20	20		20	19	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual	Budget		Actual	Budget		Actual
Receipts		_		_					_			_		_
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-	-		-	-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-	-		-	-		-
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-	325,946		408,528	298,946		420,676
Interest		1,200		1,880		1,200		1,451	-		104	-		231
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-	-		844	-		-
Transfers In									405,569		246,545	 449,684		227,024
Total Receipts		1,200		1,880		1,200		1,451	731,515		656,021	748,630		647,931
<u>Disbursements</u>														
Salaries		3,000		1,578		3,000		1,268	488,077		448,270	496,230		451,641
Employee Fringe Benefits		173		120		173		97	96,400		56,942	100,700		69,675
Materials and Supplies		650		250		650		374	6,500		6,569	8,500		9,215
Services		2,400		693		2,400		375	24,350		35,276	22,200		35,553
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		_	50,000		50,075	50,000		46,497
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		_	66,188		58,889	71,000		73,557
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-	-		-	-		-
Total Disbursements		6,223		2,641		6,223		2,114	731,515		656,021	748,630		686,138
Receipts Over (Under)														
Disbursements	\$	(5,023)	\$	(761)	\$	(5,023)	\$	(663)	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	(38,207)
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		134,876		134,876		135,539		135,539				38,207		38,207
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	129,853	\$	134,115	\$	130,516	\$	134,876	\$ _	\$		\$ 38,207	\$	

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Local Emergency Planning Committee Fund								Prosecuting Attorney Delinquent Sales Tax Fur						Fund	
	•	20	20			20	19			20	20			20	19	
	I	Budget		Actual]	Budget		Actual	F	Budget	I	Actual	I	Budget	A	Actual
Receipts	<u> </u>															
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	=	\$	-	\$	=	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		=		-		=		-
Intergovernmental		3,000		-		3,000		-		=		-		=		=
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-		50		700		150		50
Interest		-		-		-		-		73		107		65		78
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		=		-
Transfers In		-				-				-		-		-		=
Total Receipts		3,000		-		3,000		-		123		807		215		128
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		=		=.		-		-		=		-		=		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		=		-		_		-		=		-		_		-
Materials and Supplies		3,000		-		3,000		-		_		-		_		-
Services		_		-		-		-		_		-		-		-
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers Out		-		<u>-</u>		=_		-		-				-		=_
Total Disbursements		3,000				3,000										
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	123	\$	807	\$	215	\$	128
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		10,349		10,349		10,349		10,349		7,440		7,440		7,312		7,312
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	10,349	\$	10,349	\$	10,349	\$	10,349	\$	7,563	\$	8,247	\$	7,527	\$	7,440

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Recorder User Fees Fund								Domestic Violence Fund							
		20	20			20	19			20	20			20	19	
]	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual	Е	Budget		Actual	I	Budget	A	Actual
Receipts											,					
Property Taxes	\$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		_		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		_		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Charges for Services		25,000		24,883		30,000		26,903		4,000		3,104		4,000		3,665
Interest		350		542		100		355		10		65		10		33
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		=.		-		-
Transfers In						-		-						-		
Total Receipts		25,350		25,425		30,100		27,258		4,010		3,169		4,010		3,698
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Services		31,000		28,500		31,000		17,100		4,500		2,400		4,200		2,300
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-		500		-		500		-
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-		-		=.		-		-
Transfers Out						-		-		-				-		_
Total Disbursements		31,000		28,500		31,000		17,100		5,000		2,400		4,700		2,300
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(5,650)	\$	(3,075)	\$	(900)	\$	10,158	\$	(990)	\$	769	\$	(690)	\$	1,398
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		43,580		43,580		33,422		33,422		5,253		5,253		3,855		3,855
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	37,930	\$	40,505	\$	32,522	\$	43,580	\$	4,263	\$	6,022	\$	3,165	\$	5,253

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Administrative Handling Cost Fund Law Enforcement Sales Tax Fund											
		20	20			20	19		20)20	20	19
		Budget		Actual	I	Budget		Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual
Receipts												
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-	1,300,000	1,581,934	1,300,000	1,421,337
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-	30,000	100,458	30,000	30,581
Charges for Services		7,400		4,495		10,000		7,242	2,245,000	1,724,309	2,440,000	2,652,968
Interest		75		118		50		80	18,000	27,777	10,000	19,942
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-	30,000	58,330	31,000	52,322
Transfers In		-		<u>-</u>						25,684	<u>-</u>	10,000
Total Receipts		7,475		4,613		10,050		7,322	3,623,000	3,518,492	3,811,000	4,187,150
<u>Disbursements</u>												
Salaries		-		-		-		-	2,509,359	2,417,922	2,391,634	2,432,277
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		=	374,400	377,522	391,800	399,128
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		=	127,500	94,190	139,500	121,967
Services		7,050		7,030		7,050		7,037	435,000	370,815	611,000	545,362
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-	88,000	79,319	93,000	75,061
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-	170,000	300,558	257,000	236,984
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-	75,000	-	-	-
Total Disbursements		7,050		7,030		7,050	,	7,037	3,779,259	3,640,326	3,883,934	3,810,779
Receipts Over (Under)												
Disbursements	\$	425	\$	(2,417)	\$	3,000	\$	285	\$ (156,259)	\$ (121,834)	\$ (72,934)	\$ 376,371
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		10,809		10,809		10,524		10,524	2,101,987	2,101,987	1,725,616	1,725,616
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	11,234	\$	8,392	\$	13,524	\$	10,809	\$ 1,945,728	\$ 1,980,153	\$ 1,652,682	\$ 2,101,987

$Comparative \ Statement \ of \ Receipts, \ Disbursements, \ and \ Changes \ in \ Cash$

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

		NID Debt Service Bond Fund 2020 2019					NID (Ongoi	ng Maintenai	nce &	Construction	ı Fur	ıd		
		20	20			20	19		20	20			20	19	
]	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts									_				_		
Property Taxes	\$	20,000	\$	21,034	\$	20,000	\$	19,833	\$ 575,000	\$	585,361	\$	575,000	\$	561,840
Sales Taxes		-		=		-		-	-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		=		-		-	-		-		-		-
Charges for Services		-		-		-		_	-		-		-		-
Interest		50		32		50		59	5,000		40,697		5,000		22,857
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-	-		-		-		-
Transfers In				-					 						-
Total Receipts	' <u>'</u>	20,050		21,066		20,050		19,892	580,000		626,058		580,000		584,697
<u>Disbursements</u>															
Salaries		=		-		-		_	_		_		_		_
Employee Fringe Benefits		=		-		-		_	_		_		_		_
Materials and Supplies		=		-		-		_	_		_		_		_
Services		_		_		-		_	_		_		_		_
Other Disbursements		-		_		-		_	_		_		_		_
Capital Outlay		=		=		-		13,434	580,000		5,820		580,000		_
Debt Service		20,000		18,421		20,000		18,006	_		_		_		_
Transfers Out		=		=		=		_	_		_		_		_
Total Disbursements		20,000		18,421		20,000		31,440	580,000		5,820		580,000		
Receipts Over (Under)															
Disbursements	\$	50	\$	2,645	\$	50	\$	(11,548)	\$ -	\$	620,238	\$	-	\$	584,697
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		13,635		13,635		25,183		25,183	3,684,451		3,684,451		3,099,754	_	3,099,754
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	13,685	\$	16,280	\$	25,233	\$	13,635	\$ 3,684,451	\$	4,304,689	\$	3,099,754	\$	3,684,451

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				Sheriff F	ees Fu	ınd						Election Se	rvices	Fund		
		20	20			20	19			20	20			20	19	
]	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual]	Budget		Actual	I	Budget	1	Actual
Receipts																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		=		-		-		-		=		=		-		-
Charges for Services		26,000		10,744		18,000		30,588		5,000		6,691		5,000		5,905
Interest		100		450		100		288		50		203		50		101
Other Receipts		-		=		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers In		-								-		-				
Total Receipts		26,100		11,194		18,100		30,876		5,050		6,894		5,050		6,006
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		_		-		-		-		_		-		-		_
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		_		-		-		_		=
Materials and Supplies		-		3,000		=		3,000		5,000		=		5,000		=
Services		26,000		924		7,000		3,578		=		=		=		=
Other Disbursements		=		_		=		=		-		=		-		=
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		20,450		-		-		_		=
Transfers Out		-		-		-		_		-		-		_		=
Total Disbursements		26,000		3,924		7,000		27,028		5,000		-		5,000		-
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	100	\$	7,270	\$	11,100	\$	3,848	\$	50	\$	6,894	\$	50	\$	6,006
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		29,468		29,468		25,620		25,620		14,945		14,945		8,939		8,939
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	29,568	\$	36,738	\$	36,720	\$	29,468	\$	14,995	\$	21,839	\$	8,989	\$	14,945

$Comparative \ Statement \ of \ Receipts, \ Disbursements, \ and \ Changes \ in \ Cash$

${\bf Budget\ and\ Actual,\ All\ Governmental\ Funds:\ Regulatory\ Basis}$

		Co	unty L	aw Enforcer	nent R	Restitution F	und				Sheriff Rev	olving	Fund		
		20	20			20	19		20	20			20	19	
]	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual	Budget		Actual]	Budget	1	Actual
<u>Receipts</u>									 						
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	_	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-	-		-		_		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-	-		-		_		-
Charges for Services		53,400		43,590		45,000		55,964	5,000		20,212		5,000		11,780
Interest		450		642		400		496	250		936		250		632
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-	1,000		1,040		1,000		910
Transfers In		-				-		-	 -						-
Total Receipts		53,850		44,232		45,400		56,460	6,250		22,188		6,250		13,322
<u>Disbursements</u>															
Salaries		-		-		-		-	-		-		-		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-	-		-		-		-
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-	-		-		-		-
Services		-		-		-		2,500	10,000		13,001		10,000		6,299
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-	-		-		-		-
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-	=		=		-		-
Transfers Out		53,400		53,400		45,000		53,400	 -		<u>-</u>		=_		-
Total Disbursements		53,400		53,400		45,000		55,900	10,000		13,001		10,000		6,299
Receipts Over (Under)															
Disbursements	\$	450	\$	(9,168)	\$	400	\$	560	\$ (3,750)	\$	9,187	\$	(3,750)	\$	7,023
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		53,125		53,125		52,565		52,565	 65,825		65,825		58,802		58,802
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	53,575	\$	43,957	\$	52,965	\$	53,125	\$ 62,075	\$	75,012	\$	55,052	\$	65,825

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			Inmate Sec	Inmate Security Fund 2019			Help America Vote Act Fund								
	 20	20			20	19			20)20			20	19	
	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual	I	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts					_				_		_				
Property Taxes	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental	-		-		_		-		-		22,472		-		-
Charges for Services	154,000		165,141		120,000		173,462		-		29,418		-		2,864
Interest	500		1,093		500		776		=		490		=		225
Other Receipts	=		-		-		-		=		=		=		-
Transfers In	 -		33,479						-		-		-		
Total Receipts	154,500		199,713		120,500		174,238		-		52,380	'	-		3,089
<u>Disbursements</u>															
Salaries	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Employee Fringe Benefits	-		-		-		-		-		_		-		-
Materials and Supplies	30,000		16,647		30,000		29,474		-		_		-		-
Services	10,000		7,722		10,000		6,931		-		_		_		-
Other Disbursements	-		-		-		-		-		_		_		-
Capital Outlay	150,000		144,091		60,000		157,082		-		24,450		-		-
Transfers Out	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Disbursements	190,000		168,460		100,000		193,487				24,450				
Receipts Over (Under)															
Disbursements	\$ (35,500)	\$	31,253	\$	20,500	\$	(19,249)	\$	-	\$	27,930	\$	-	\$	3,089
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1	 72,956		72,956		92,205		92,205		25,386		25,386		22,297		22,297
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$ 37,456	\$	104,209	\$	112,705	\$	72,956	\$	25,386	\$	53,316	\$	22,297	\$	25,386

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

		Tax Maintenance Fund 2019			Little Proctor Road Overlay Fund										
	 20	20			20	19			20	20			20	19	
	Budget		Actual]	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts			_				_								_
Property Taxes	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes	-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental	-		-		-		-		_		-		-		-
Charges for Services	52,200		55,150		46,600		52,229		_		_		-		-
Interest	400		344		400		350		-		1,565		-		1,192
Other Receipts	-		=		-		=		-		-		-		=
Transfers In	 -		-		<u>-</u>		-								-
Total Receipts	 52,600		55,494		47,000		52,579		-		1,565		-		1,192
<u>Disbursements</u>															
Salaries	-		-		-		-		_		_		_		=
Employee Fringe Benefits	-		-		_		-		_		_		_		-
Materials and Supplies	3,800		3,367		3,500		2,001		_		_		_		=
Services	1,875		1,352		1,908		4,524		_		_		_		=
Other Disbursements	5,500		7,243		5,000		5,072		-		_		-		-
Capital Outlay	10,760		6,833		16,500		20,120		-		-		-		-
Transfers Out	25,000		25,000		25,000		25,000		-		-		-		=
Total Disbursements	 46,935		43,795		51,908		56,717		-		-		-		-
Receipts Over (Under)															
Disbursements	\$ 5,665	\$	11,699	\$	(4,908)	\$	(4,138)	\$	-	\$	1,565	\$	-	\$	1,192
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1	 69,437		69,437		73,575		73,575		112,234	_	112,234	_	111,042		111,042
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$ 75,102	\$	81,136	\$	68,667	\$	69,437	\$	112,234	\$	113,799	\$	111,042	\$	112,234

$Comparative \ Statement \ of \ Receipts, \ Disbursements, \ and \ Changes \ in \ Cash$

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

		Coronavirus	Relief Fund			Senior Citizens Se	ervices Board Fund	
	20)20	20)19	20)20	20	19
	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual
Receipts								
Property Taxes	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 210,000	\$ 237,321	\$ 204,000	\$ 216,241
Sales Taxes	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Intergovernmental	2,419,959	2,419,959	-	-	-	-	-	-
Charges for Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Interest	-	10,832	-	-	510	392	510	591
Other Receipts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers In								
Total Receipts	2,419,959	2,430,791	-	-	210,510	237,713	204,510	216,832
<u>Disbursements</u>								
Salaries	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Employee Fringe Benefits	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Materials and Supplies	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Services	-	-	-	-	202,500	196,206	181,840	200,734
Other Disbursements	2,419,959	2,229,978	-	-	50,000	-	50,000	-
Capital Outlay	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers Out	-	145,590	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Disbursements	2,419,959	2,375,568			252,500	196,206	231,840	200,734
Receipts Over (Under)								
Disbursements	\$ -	\$ 55,223	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (41,990)	\$ 41,507	\$ (27,330)	\$ 16,098
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1	- _				133,302	133,302	117,204	117,204
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$ -	\$ 55,223	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 91,312	\$ 174,809	\$ 89,874	\$ 133,302

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			Senate Bill 4	0 Boar	d Fund		
	20	20			20	19	
	 Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts	 						
Property Taxes	\$ 217,732	\$	238,241	\$	218,415	\$	217,047
Sales Taxes	-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental	_		-		_		_
Charges for Services	375,000		423,590		375,000		452,372
Interest	1,680		355		1,200		-
Other Receipts	-		7,121		12,000		10,140
Transfers In	-		_		-		-
Total Receipts	 594,412		669,307		606,615		679,559
<u>Disbursements</u>							
Salaries	320,465		251,442		320,465		235,628
Employee Fringe Benefits	-		52,389		-		44,879
Materials and Supplies	4,550		5,735		4,550		3,901
Services	257,485		164,075		288,685		222,145
Other Disbursements	-		-		-		-
Capital Outlay	28,300		12,264		28,300		18,629
Transfers Out	_		_		_		_
Total Disbursements	610,800		485,905		642,000		525,182
Receipts Over (Under)							
Disbursements	\$ (16,388)	\$	183,402	\$	(35,385)	\$	154,377
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1	 537,807		537,807		383,430		383,430
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$ 521,419	\$	721,209	\$	348,045	\$	537,807

The County of Morgan

Versailles, Missouri

Statements of Assets and Liabilities Arising From Cash Transactions

Custodial Funds - Regulatory Basis December 31, 2020 & 2019

							2020					
		County						Pro	osecuting			
		Clerk		Collector	R	ecorder	 Sheriff	A	Attorney	T	reasurer	Total
Assets												
Cash and Equivalents	\$	15,001	\$	17,691,289	\$	35,414	\$ 71,843	\$	18,755	\$	190,172	\$ 18,022,474
Total Assets		15,001		17,691,289		35,414	71,843		18,755		190,172	18,022,474
Liabilities and Fund Balances												
Total Liabilities		15,001		17,691,289		35,414	71,843		18,755		190,172	18,022,474
		15,001		17,691,289		35,414	71,843		18,755		190,172	18,022,474
Fund Balances		_		_		_	_		_		_	-
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$	15,001	\$	17,691,289	\$	35,414	\$ 71,843	\$	18,755	\$	190,172	\$ 18,022,474
		County					2019	Pro	osecuting			
		Clerk		Collector	R	ecorder	Sheriff		Attorney	Т	reasurer	Total
Assets												
Cash and Equivalents	\$	35,540	\$	18,036,883	\$	20,766	\$ 94,463	\$	17,193	\$	216,406	\$ 18,421,251
Total Assets		35,540		18,036,883		20,766	94,463		17,193		216,406	18,421,251
Liabilities and Fund Balances												
Total Liabilities		35,540		18,036,883		20,766	94,463		17,193		216,406	\$ 18,421,251
		35,540		18,036,883		20,766	94,463		17,193		216,406	18,421,251
Fund Balances		-		-		-	-		-		-	-
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	_	35,540	_	18,036,883	_	20,766	94,463	_	17,193	_	216,406	18,421,251

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organized in 1833, the county of Morgan was named after Revolutionary War General Daniel Morgan. It is a third-class county, and the county seat is Versailles. Morgan County's government is composed of a three-member county commission and the following separately elected Constitutional Officers: County Clerk, Collector, Treasurer, Circuit Clerk, Recorder of Deeds, Sheriff, Assessor, Coroner, Public Administrator and Prosecuting Attorney.

As discussed further in Note 1, these financial statements are presented on the regulatory basis of accounting. This basis of accounting differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

Reporting Entity

As required by generally accepted accounting principles, as applicable to the regulatory basis of accounting, these financial statements present financial accountability of Morgan County, Missouri, the Morgan County Senior Citizens Services Board, and the Morgan County Senate Bill 40 Board.

Morgan County's operations include tax assessments and collections, state/county courts, county recorder, public safety, transportation, economic development, social and human services, and cultural and recreation services.

The financial statements referred to above include only the primary government of Morgan County, Missouri, which consists of all funds, organizations, institutions, agencies, departments, and offices that are considered to comprise Morgan County's legal entity. The Morgan County Senior Citizens Services Board and the Morgan County Senate Bill 40 Board are controlled by separate boards and are also included under the control of Morgan County.

Certain elected County officials, such as the County Collector, Treasurer, and Sheriff, collect and hold monies in a trustee capacity as an agent of an individual, taxing units, or other governments. These assets, which are held by these officeholders for the sole benefit of external parties, are included in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions - Custodial Funds - Regulatory Basis.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements present the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash of all funds of Morgan County, Missouri, and the comparisons of such information with the corresponding budgeted information for all funds of the County. The funds presented are established under statutory or administrative authority, and their operations are under the control of the County Commission or an elected county official. The General Revenue Fund is the County's general operation fund, accounting for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The other funds presented account for financial resources whose use is restricted for specified purposes.

Basis of Accounting

The financial statements were prepared using accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law, which differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The effects of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Basis of Accounting (continued)

As a result of the use of this regulatory basis of accounting, certain assets (such as accounts receivable and capital assets), certain revenues (such as revenue for billed or provided services not yet collected), certain liabilities (such as accounts payable, certificates of participation, bonds, and obligations under capital leases), and certain expenditures (such as expenditures for goods or services received but not yet paid) are not recorded in these financial statements.

If Morgan County utilized the basis of accounting recognized as generally accepted, the fund financial statements for governmental funds would use the modified accrual basis of accounting, while the fund financial statements for proprietary fund types, if applicable, would use the accrual basis of accounting. All government-wide financials would be presented on the accrual basis of accounting.

Budget and Budgetary Accounting

In accordance with Chapter 50 RSMo, Morgan County adopts a budget for each governmental fund.

On or before January 15th, each elected official and department director will transmit to the County Clerk, who serves as budget officer, the budget request and revenue estimates for their office or department for the budget year.

The County Clerk submits to the County Commission a proposed budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1. The proposed budget includes estimated revenues and proposed expenditures for all budgeted funds. Budgeted expenditures cannot exceed beginning available monies plus estimated revenues for the year. Budgeting of appropriations is based upon an estimated unencumbered fund balance at the beginning of the year as well as estimated revenues to be received. The budget to actual comparisons in these financial statements, however, do not present encumbered fund balances, but only compare budgeted and actual revenues and expenditures.

A public hearing is conducted to obtain public comment. Prior to its approval by the County Commission, the budget document is available for public inspection.

Prior to February 1, the budget is legally enacted by a vote of the County Commission.

Subsequent to its formal approval of the budget, the County Commission has the authority to make necessary adjustments to the budget by formal vote of the Commission. Adjustments made during the year are reflected in the budget financial statements.

Budgeted amounts are as originally adopted, or as amended by the County Commission throughout the year.

Budgets are prepared and adopted on the cash basis of accounting.

During the audit, it was noted that the County was not in compliance with Missouri budgetary state statute Chapter 50 RSMo. The following funds had actual expenditures that exceeded budgeted expenditures in 2020: Prosecuting Attorney Training Fund and Sheriff Revolving Fund. The following funds had actual expenditures that exceeded budgeted expenditures in 2019: Prosecuting Attorney Training Fund, NID Debt Service Bond Fund, Sheriff Fees Fund, County Law Enforcement Restitution Fund, Inmate Security Fund, and Tax Maintenance Fund. The following funds did not have a budget prepared in 2020 and 2019: Help America Vote Act Fund and Little Proctor Road Overlay Fund.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Taxes

Property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. Taxes are levied on October 1 and tax bills are mailed to taxpayers in November, at which time they are payable. All unpaid property taxes become delinquent as of January 1 of the following year.

The assessed valuation of the tangible taxable property, included within Morgan County's boundaries for the calendar year 2020 and 2019, respectively, for the purposes of County taxation was as follows:

	2020	2019
Real Estate	\$ 415,192,730	\$ 410,216,200
Personal Property	95,175,261	91,377,079
Railroad and Utilities	39,212,964	33,417,089
	\$ 549,580,955	\$ 535,010,368

The tax levy per \$100 assessed valuation of tangible taxable property for the calendar year 2020 and 2019, respectively, for the purpose of County taxation, was as follows:

	2020	2019
General Revenue	\$ 0.1100	\$ 0.1100
Special Road and Bridge	0.1327	0.1313
Senior Citizens Services Board	0.0423	0.0423
Senate Bill 40 Board	0.0423	0.0423

The County also receives sales tax collected by the State and remitted based on the County's sales tax rate to the total sales tax collected in the County.

Cash Deposits and Investments

Deposits and investments are stated at cost, which approximates market. Cash balances for all the County Treasurer's funds are pooled and invested to the extent possible. Interest earned from such investments is allocated to each of the funds based on the funds' average daily cash balance. Cash equivalents include repurchase agreements and any other instruments with an original maturity of ninety days or less. State law authorizes the deposit of funds in banks and trust companies or the investment of funds in bonds or treasury certificates of the United States, other interest bearing obligations guaranteed as to both principal and interest by the United States, bonds of the State of Missouri or other government bonds, or time certificates of deposit, provided, however, that no such investments shall be purchased at a price in excess of par. Funds in the form of cash on deposit or time certificates of deposit are required to be insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or collateralized by authorized investments held in Morgan County's name at third-party banking institutions. Details of these cash balances are presented in Note 2.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Interfund Transactions

During the course of operations, interfund activity occurs for purposes of providing supplemental funding, reimbursements for goods provided or services rendered, or short and long-term financing.

Interfund activities are reported as "transfers in" by the recipient fund and as "transfers out" by the disbursing fund. However, interfund reimbursements have been eliminated from the financial statements in order that reimbursed expenditures are reported only in the funds incurring the costs.

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments

Morgan County maintains a cash and temporary investment pool that is available for use by all funds. Deposits with maturities greater than three months are considered investments. Each fund type's portion of this pool is displayed on the statement of receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash arising from cash transactions as "Cash and Equivalents". Cash held for others is displayed on the statement of assets and liabilities arising from cash transactions as "Cash and Equivalents."

Deposits - Missouri statutes require that all deposits with financial institutions be collateralized in an amount at least equal to uninsured deposits.

The bank balances and carrying values of deposits and investments shown below are included in the financial statements at December 31, 2020, as follows:

	Carrying Value	Bank Balances
Deposits	\$ 29,550,366	\$ 27,609,669
Investments	1,726,664	1,726,664
Total Deposits and Investments as of December 31, 2020	\$ 31,277,030	\$ 29,336,333
Total Cash and Equivalents - Governmental Funds	\$ 13,254,556	
Total Cash and Equivalents - Agency Funds	18,022,474 \$ 31,277,030	

The bank balances and carrying values of deposits and investments shown below are included in the financial statements at December 31, 2019, as follows:

	Carrying Value	Bank Balances
Deposits	\$ 28,214,872	\$ 26,135,376
Investments	1,689,900	1,689,900
Total Deposits and Investments as of December 31, 2019	\$ 29,904,772	\$ 27,825,276
Total Cash and Equivalents - Governmental Funds Total Cash and Equivalents - Agency Funds	\$ 11,483,521 18,421,251 \$ 29,904,772	

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments (continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

For a deposit, custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the government's deposits may not be returned to it. At December 31, 2020, \$503,111 of Morgan County's deposits were not properly insured. At December 31, 2019, \$487,087 of Morgan County's deposits were not properly insured. The remainder of Morgan County's deposits were covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Company (FDIC) or were collateralized.

Custodial Credit Risk - Investments

Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the government, and are held by the party that sold the security to Morgan County or its agent but not in the government's name. Morgan County does not have a policy for custodial credit risk relating to investments.

All investments, evidenced by individual securities, are registered in the name of Morgan County or of a type that are not exposed to custodial credit risk.

Investment Interest Rate Risk

Investment interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Morgan County does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

Concentration of Investment Credit Risk

Concentration of investment credit risk is required to be disclosed by Morgan County for any single investment that represents 5% or more of total investments (excluding investments issued by or explicitly guaranteed by the U. S. Government, investments in mutual funds, investments in external investment pools and investments in other pooled investments). Morgan County has no policy in place to minimize the risk of loss resulting from over concentration of assets of a specific maturity, specific issuer or specific class of securities.

The following investments represent more than 5%, individually, of total investments:

Issuer Type		2020 Balance		% of Portfolio	2019 Balance		% of Portfolio
Citizens Bank of Eldon	Cert. of Deposit	\$	984,381	57%	\$	968,017	57%
Community Point Bank	Cert. of Deposit		742,283	43%		721,883	43%

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Note 3 - Interfund Transfers

Transfers between funds for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 are as follows:

	2020			2019					
<u>Fund</u>		Transfers In		Transfers Out		Transfers In		Transfers Out	
General Revenue	\$	160,767	\$	246,545	\$	68,400	\$	227,024	
Special Road and Bridge		4,060		-		-		-	
911		246,545		-		227,024		-	
Law Enforcement Sales Tax		25,684		-		10,000		-	
County Law Enforcement Restitution		-		53,400		-		53,400	
Inmate Security		33,479		-		-		-	
Tax Maintenance		-		25,000		-		25,000	
Coronavirus Relief		-		145,590		_		-	
Total	\$	470,535	\$	470,535	\$	305,424	\$	305,424	

Note 4 - Long-Term Debt

Limited General Obligation Bonds

In 2006, Morgan County issued \$222,000 in Limited General Obligation Bonds for the purpose of capital improvements located within the Saddle Road Neighborhood Improvement District. The Bonds are paid through a special assessment that is assessed on the benefitted properties located within the District. Principal and interest payments are due annually on March 1 with interest payable at 4.875%.

Balance at Amount Amount E	Balance at	Amount	Amount	Balance at	
12/31/19 Borrowed Repaid	12/31/19	Repaid	Borrowed	12/31/18	
\$ 103,000 \$ - \$ (13,000) \$	\$ 103.000	(12,000)	s - s	\$ 115,000	
<u>\$ 103,000 \$ - \$ (13,000) \$</u>	\$ 103,000	(12,000)	<u> </u>	\$ 113,000	

Interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 was \$5,421 and \$6,006, respectively.

Future minimum payments due on the Series 2006 Limited General Obligation Bonds are as follows:

Year	P	Principal		Interest		Total
2021	\$	13,000	\$	4,387	\$	17,387
2022		14,000		3,754		17,754
2023		15,000		3,071		18,071
2024		15,000		2,340		17,340
2025		16,000		1,609		17,609
2026		17,000		829		17,829
	\$	90,000	\$	15,990	\$	105,990

Principal payments made on the Series 2006 Limited General Obligation Bonds are made from the NID Debt Service Bond Fund.

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Note 4 - Long-Term Debt (continued)

Lease Purchase Agreements

In 2012, the County entered into a \$229,070 lease purchase agreement with U.S. Bank for 911 Equipment, payable in monthly installments of \$5,437 with a final payment due November 7, 2019. The lease agreement carries an interest rate of 2.035%. This lease was paid in full in 2019.

In 2012, the County entered into a \$487,527 lease purchase agreement with U.S. Bank for 911 Equipment, payable in monthly installments of \$6,177 with a final payment due October 20, 2019. The lease agreement carries an interest rate of 1.781%. This lease was paid in full in 2019.

Balance at 12/31/18	Amount Borrowed	Amount Repaid	Balance at 12/31/19	Amount Borrowed	Amount Repaid	Balance at 12/31/20
\$ 115,139	\$ -	\$ (115,139)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 was \$0 and \$1,004, respectively.

Note 5 - County Employees' Retirement Fund (CERF)

Plan Description

The County Employees' Retirement Fund is a cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plan covering any county elected or appointed officer or employee whose performance requires the actual performance of duties during not less than one thousand (1,000) hours per calendar year in each county of the state, except for any city not within a county and any county of the first classification having a charter form of government. It does not include county prosecuting attorneys covered under Sections 56.800 to 56.840 RSMo., circuit clerks and deputy circuit clerks covered under the Missouri State Retirement System, county sheriffs covered under Sections 57.949 to 57.997 RSMo., and certain personnel not defined as an employee per Section 50.1000(8) RSMo. The Fund was created by an act of legislature and was effective August 28, 1994.

The general administration and the responsibility for the proper operation of the fund and the investment of the fund are vested in a board of directors of eleven persons.

Benefits Provided

Beginning January 1, 1997, employees attaining the age of sixty-two years may retire with full benefits with eight or more years of creditable service. The monthly benefit for County Employees is determined by selecting the highest benefit calculated using three different prescribed formulas (flat-dollar formula, targeted replacement ratio formula, and prior plan's formula). A death benefit of \$10,000 will be paid to the designated beneficiary of every active member upon his or her death.

Upon termination of employment, any member who is vested is entitled to a deferred annuity, payable at age sixty-two. Early retirement is at age fifty-five. Any member with less than eight years of creditable service forfeits all rights in the fund but will be paid his or her accumulated contributions.

The County Employees' Retirement Fund issues audited financial statements. Copies of these statements may be obtained from the Board of Directors of CERF by writing to CERF, 2121 Schotthill Woods Drive, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65101, by calling (573) 632-9203, or by visiting the CERF website at www.mocerf.org.

Note 5 - County Employees' Retirement Fund (CERF) (continued)

Contributions

Prior to January 1, 2003, participating county employees were required to make contributions equal to 2% of gross compensation. Effective January 1, 2003, participating county employees hired on or after February 25, 2002 are required to make contributions of 6%. If an employee leaves covered employment before attaining 8 years of creditable service, accumulated employee contributions are refunded to the employee. The contribution rate is set by state statute and may be amended only by action of the Missouri Legislature. Counties may elect to make all or a portion of the required 6% contribution on behalf of employees. The County collected and remitted CERF employee contributions of \$227,589 and \$221,234 for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, respectively.

In addition to the above contributions required of employees, the following fees and penalties prescribed under Missouri law are required to be collected and remitted to CERF by counties covered by the plan:

- Late fees on filing of personal property tax declarations,
- Twenty dollars on each merchants and manufacturers license issued,
- Six dollars on each document recorded or filed with county recorders of deeds, with an additional one dollar
 on each document recorded,
- Three sevenths of the fee on delinquent property taxes, and
- Interest earned on investment of the above collections prior to remittance to CERF.

The County collected and remitted CERF fees and penalties of \$286,995 and \$247,139 for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, respectively.

Further information related to required contributions, pension benefits, other plan terms, and investments and related return and financial information can be found in the notes to the financial statements of CERF's Annual Financial Report.

Note 6 - Prosecuting Attorney Retirement Fund

In accordance with state statute Section 56.807 RSMo, Morgan County contributes monthly to the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services for deposit to the credit of the Missouri Prosecuting Attorneys' and Circuit Attorneys' Retirement System Fund. Once remitted, the State of Missouri is responsible for administration of this plan. Morgan County has contributed \$11,628 and \$11,628, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019.

Note 7 - Other Retirement Plan

Morgan County has 457 and 401(a) plans which are paid by a deduction from employees' salary. These contributions qualify under the Internal Revenue Code and are tax exempt. Employee contributions collected and remitted by the County for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 for the 457 plan were \$71,740 and \$67,197, respectively. Employee contributions collected and remitted by the County for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 for the 401(a) plan were \$29,634 and \$28,781, respectively.

The Morgan County Senate Bill 40 Board participates in a SIMPLE IRA plan and matches up to 3% of an employee's elective deferrals. These contributions qualify under the Internal Revenue Code and are tax exempt. Pension plan expense for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 was \$4,035 and \$3,804, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Note 8 - Post-Employment Benefits

Morgan County does not provide post-employment benefits except as mandated by the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA). The requirements established by COBRA are fully funded by employees who elect coverage under the Act, and no direct costs are incurred by Morgan County.

Note 9 - Claims, Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

The County is subject to various claims and legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters that arise in the ordinary course of its business activities. Management believes that any liability that may ultimately result from the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the County.

Compensated Absences

Vacation time is accrued for every full-time employee, and accrues at the rate of six days per year for employees with three years of service time or less, 12 days for employees with four to nine years of service time, or 18 days for employees with ten years of service time or more. If an employee has reached the maximum amount of unused vacation (one and one-half times the annual vacation amount), vacation will stop accruing on a monthly basis until the employee is below the limit. Upon separation, employees with ninety days or more of continuous service will be compensated for unused vacation time. The County provides fulltime employees with 12 days of sick time per year, up to a maximum of 36 days. Employees are not compensated for unused sick time upon separation. These have not been subjected to auditing procedures.

Federal and State Assisted Programs

The County receives proceeds from several federal and state grants. Periodic audits of these grants are required and certain costs may be questioned. Such audits could result in refund of grant monies to the grantor agencies. Management believes that any required refunds will be immaterial. No provision has been made in the accompanying financial statements for the potential refund of grant monies.

Note 10 - Risk Management

The County is exposed to various risks of losses related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees and natural disasters, and has established a risk management strategy that attempts to minimize losses and the carrying costs of insurance. There have been no significant reductions in coverage from the prior year and settlements have not exceeded coverage in the past three years.

The County is a member participant in a public entity risk pool which is a corporate and political body. The purpose of the risk pool is to provide liability protection to participating public entities, their officials, and employees. Annual contributions are collected based on actuarial projections which are intended to produce sufficient funds to pay losses and expenses. Should contributions not produce sufficient funds to meet its obligations, the risk pool is empowered with the ability to make special assessments. Members are jointly and severally liable for all claims against the risk pool.

The County is a member of the Missouri Association of Counties Self-Insured Workers' Compensation and Insurance Fund. The County purchases workers' compensation insurance through this Fund, a non-profit corporation established for the purpose of providing insurance coverage for Missouri counties. The Fund is self-insured up to \$500,000 per occurrence and is reinsured up to the statutory limit through excess insurance.

Note 11 - Subsequent Events

The County has evaluated events subsequent to December 31, 2020 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events have been evaluated through August 16, 2021, the date the financial statements were available to be issued. Based upon this evaluation, it was determined that no subsequent events occurred that require recognition or additional disclosure in the financial statements.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

To the County Commissioners and Officeholders of Morgan County, Missouri

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Morgan County, Missouri as of and for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Morgan County, Missouri's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated August 16, 2021.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Morgan County, Missouri's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Morgan County, Missouri's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Morgan County, Missouri's internal control.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. However, as described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we did identify certain deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2020-004 and 2020-005 to be material weaknesses.

A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2020-003 to be a significant deficiency.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Morgan County, Missouri's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, and which are described in the schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2020-001 and 2020-002.

Morgan County, Missouri's Response to Findings

Morgan County, Missouri's response to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Morgan County, Missouri's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Creve Coeur, Missouri August 16, 2021

Stopp & Vantly



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditor's Report

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Morgan County, Missouri

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Morgan County, Missouri's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of Morgan County, Missouri's major federal programs for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019. Morgan County, Missouri's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Morgan County, Missouri's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Morgan County, Missouri's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Morgan County, Missouri's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Morgan County, Missouri complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance which is required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as 2020-006. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

Morgan County, Missouri's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Morgan County, Missouri's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of Morgan County, Missouri is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Morgan County, Missouri's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Morgan County, Missouri's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we did identify a certain deficiency in internal control over compliance, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2020-006, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

Morgan County, Missouri's response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Morgan County, Missouri's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Creve Coeur, Missouri

Stopp & Vantlay

August 16, 2021

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Number	Federal Expenditures Year Ended December 31,		Awards Provided to Subrecipients Year Ended December 31,	
			2020	2019	2020	2019
U.S. Department of Justice						
Passed through state:						
Missouri Association of Prosecuting Attorneys -						
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	MAPAMorgan19-21	37,402	5,899	-	-
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	MAPAMorgan17-19	-	30,850	-	-
		_	37,402	36,749	-	-
Passed through state:						
Missouri Department of Public Safety -						
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2019-LLEBG-047	9,975	-	-	_
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2018-LLEBG-061		9,883	-	_
, c		_	9,975	9,883	-	-
U.S. Department of Transportation						
Highway Safety Cluster						
Passed through:						
University of Central Missouri -						
State & Community Highway Safety	20.600	19-PT-02-067	-	1,250	-	-
Passed through:						
University of Central Missouri -						
National Priority Safety Programs	20.616	20-M2HVE-05-030	850	<u>-</u>	<u> </u>	-
Total Highway Safety Cluster			850	1,250	-	-
Passed through:						
University of Central Missouri -						
Alcohol Open Container Requirements	20.607	20-154-AL-017	2,950	-	-	-
Alcohol Open Container Requirements	20.607	19-154-AL-019	-	1,650	-	-
		_	2,950	1,650	-	-
U.S. Department of Treasury						
Passed through state:						
Missouri State Treasurer's Office -						
COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund	21.019	n/a	2,375,568	-	2,229,977	-

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal CFDA	Pass-Through Entity	Federal Expenditures Year Ended December 31,		Awards Provided to Subrecipients Year Ended December 31,	
Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	2020	2019	2020	2019
Election Assistance Commission Passed through state: Missouri Secretary of State - COVID-19 HAVA Election Security Grants	90.404	n/a	19,839	-	-	-
Executive Office of the President Passed through state: Missouri State Highway Patrol - High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program	95.001 95.001	G20MW0001A-QQ G19MW0001A-QQ	9,213	- 11,546		
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			9,213 \$ 2,455,797	\$ 61,078	\$ 2,229,977	\$ -

The County of Morgan Versailles, Missouri Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Purpose of Schedule and Reporting Entity

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been prepared to comply with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). This Uniform Guidance requires a schedule that provides total federal awards expended for each federal program and the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number or other identifying number when the CFDA number is not available.

This schedule includes all federal awards administered by Morgan County, Missouri.

Basis of Presentation

The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards includes these definitions, which govern the contents of the schedule:

Federal financial assistance means assistance that non-Federal entities receive or administer in the form of grants, loans, loan guarantees, property (including donated surplus property), cooperative agreements, interest subsidies, insurance, food commodities, direct appropriations, and other assistance, but does not include amounts received as reimbursement for services rendered to individuals.

Federal award means Federal financial assistance and Federal cost-reimbursement contracts that non-Federal entities receive directly from Federal awarding agencies or indirectly from pass-through entities. It does not include procurement contracts, under grants or contracts, used to buy goods or services from vendors.

Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of Morgan County, Missouri, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets, or cash flows of Morgan County, Missouri.

Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented on the cash basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowed or are limited as to reimbursement.

Federal expenditures are considered to have occurred when cash is disbursed for allowable expenditures.

Morgan County, Missouri has not elected to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate.

Note 2 - Donated Personal Protective Equipment

Morgan County received no donated personal protective equipment which had been purchased with federal funds. This footnote is unaudited.

The County of Morgan Versailles, Missouri Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Section 1 - Summary of Auditor's Results

<u>Financial Statements</u>					
Type of auditor's report issued	Unmodified Regulatory Basis				
Internal control over financial reporting:					
Material weakness(es) identified?	X YesNo				
Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	X YesNone Reported				
Any noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	X YesNo				
Federal Awards					
Internal control over major programs:					
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes X No				
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	X YesNone Reported				
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified				
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 2 CFR section 200.516(a)?	X Yes No				
Identification of Major Programs:					
CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster				
21.019	COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund				
Dollar threshold used to distinguish					
between type A and type B programs:	\$ 750,000				
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	Yes X No				

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Section 2 - Financial Statement Findings

2020-001 **Criteria:** Missouri statutes requires Counties to prepare an annual budget as applicable to each fund. Expenditures are not to exceed the budget.

Condition: During the audit, it was noted that the County was not in compliance with Missouri budgetary state statute Chapter 50 RSMo. The following funds had actual expenditures that exceeded budgeted expenditures in 2020: Prosecuting Attorney Training Fund and Sheriff Revolving Fund. The following funds had actual expenditures that exceeded budgeted expenditures in 2019: Prosecuting Attorney Training Fund, NID Debt Service Bond Fund, Sheriff Fees Fund, County Law Enforcement Restitution Fund, Inmate Security Fund, and Tax Maintenance Fund. The following funds did not have a budget prepared in 2020 and 2019: Help America Vote Act Fund and Little Proctor Road Overlay Fund.

Cause: Oversight

Effect: Due to exceeding budget in certain funds and failing to prepare a budget for certain funds, the County is in violation of Missouri Revised Statutes.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County periodically review its actual expenditures as compared to budgeted amounts. An amended budget should be prepared and approved as necessary to comply with statutes. Additionally, the County should prepare a budget for all applicable funds.

Management's Response: The county will review all budgeted expenditures quarterly (March, June, September, and December.) Any budgets over will be amended and adjusted appropriately. HAVA and Little Proctor Overlay will be added to the budget accordingly.

2020-002 **Criteria:** Budgets should be prepared accurately, listing beginning available fund balances, expected revenues and disbursements, and expected ending fund balances.

Condition: During the audit, it was noted that the Morgan County Senate Bill 40 Board's budgets for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 were prepared with formula errors.

Cause: Oversight

Effect: Due to preparing budgets with errors, the Morgan County Senate Bill 40 Board is unable to accurately compare actual revenues and disbursements to budgeted revenues and disbursements for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Morgan County Senate Bill 40 Board review the accuracy of prepared budgets prior to adoption by the Board. Additionally, the Board should continue to monitor budgets on a routine basis in comparison to actual revenues and disbursements to determine if any amendments should be made.

Management's Response: Without more information, we must assume that the formula errors were literal errors manifested in the Excel spreadsheets used to compute the budgets. The budget for 2019 was prepared by the previous Executive Director. The current Executive Director assumed the position in August of 2019. The 2020 budget was prepared using the 2019 budget as a template. The 2021 budget was prepared via QuickBooks. All future budgets will utilize QuickBooks to organize, compute, and report budgets.

The County of Morgan Versailles, Missouri Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Section 2 - Financial Statement Findings (continued)

2020-003 **Criteria:** Antifraud programs and controls are the policies and procedures put in place by an organization to help ensure that management directives are carried out. They are part of the overall system of internal control established to achieve reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Condition: During our audit, we noted there is no formal fraud risk assessment in place.

Cause: Management has not prepared documentation of risk assessments, including identifying risks and mitigating controls.

Effect: Lack of an appropriate fraud risk assessment process may result in certain risks not being identified by County's management. Opportunities to commit and conceal a fraud or irregularity may go undetected by management without proper assessment procedures.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County address various risks in the environment, including risk of fraud occurring by performing assessments to identify, analyze, and manage these risks.

Management's Response: The County will implement a fraud risk assessment process by the end of the year. This assessment will be reviewed and updated on an annual basis to review any areas for concern.

2020-004 **Criteria:** The County Clerk is charged with maintaining accountability over funds in a trustee capacity as an agent of an individual, taxing units, or other government. A proper system of internal controls dictates that bank reconciliations be performed on a timely basis for all bank accounts to ensure that cash balances per the accounting records agree to the cash balances held in the bank. Additionally, the County Clerk's office should prepare a list of all outstanding liabilities for each account.

Condition: For the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, the County Clerk's office failed to perform timely and accurate bank reconciliations or prepare a list of outstanding liabilities for all bank accounts held by the County Clerk's office.

Cause: The reconciled bank balance, including all outstanding liabilities, is not being compared to the balances of the funds on hand per the County Clerk's records due to lack of internal controls and procedures in the County Clerk's office.

Effect: Without timely, accurate bank reconciliations, the risk of material misstatement of the County Clerk's cash balances due to error or misappropriation is heightened. Additionally, there is a risk that the County Clerk's office cannot account for all outstanding liabilities which may result in account overdrafts.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County Clerk implement the necessary procedures to ensure that the bank balance reconciles to the book balance on a monthly basis.

Management's Response: The County Clerk had been using a different method of keeping track of bank records but began implementing the recommended procedure of bank reconciliation after the issue was noted by the auditors.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Section 2 - Financial Statement Findings (continued)

2020-005 **Criteria:** Management should prepare the financial statements of the County, as presented in the annual budget, to present balanced transfers between all funds.

Condition: The recorded transfers out did not equal the recorded transfers in for either 2020 or 2019. This was the result of transfers out misclassified as expenditures and transfers in misclassified as revenues. In most instances, one side of the transfer would be booked to a "miscellaneous" revenue or expense account resulting in an imbalance between transfers in and out. This has the effect of overstating expenditures and revenues as recorded in the annual budget documents. All transfers out must be accompanied by a corresponding transfer in. The financial statements included in this report have been adjusted so that transfers in and out between funds are equal as of December 31, 2020 and 2019.

Cause: Oversight.

Effect: The financial statements of the County, as presented in the annual budget, were inaccurately prepared due to an imbalance between transfers in and out.

Recommendation: In order to ensure that transfers are properly reported and in balance, we recommend transfers out always be accompanied by an equal transfer in and that the transfers be clearly identified in the accounting system and on the annual budget.

Management's Response: The County Law Enforcement Restitution Fund has no line item for the Law Enforcement Sales Tax transfer. A line item will be added to the next budget cycle.

Section 3 - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

2020-006 Federal Grantor: All Programs

Pass-Through Grantor: All Programs Federal CFDA Number: All Programs Program Title: All Programs

Criteria: Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200 requires auditees to prepare an accurate Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) containing awards expended, CFDA title and number, award number, name of the Federal agency, and name of pass-through entity.

Condition: The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) contained errors. The County's current internal controls over SEFA reporting are not sufficient enough to ensure correct SEFA reporting.

Cause: Management did not follow reporting requirements related to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Effect: Federal expenditures reported in the SEFA were incorrect.

Context: This finding was reported in the previous audit for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, and has been reported in several previous audits of the County.

The County of Morgan Versailles, Missouri Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Section 3 - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

2020-006 **Recommendation:** We recommend management develop internal controls over reporting and consult with outside (cont.) accountants, if possible, to ensure an accurate SEFA is prepared.

Management's Response: When budget sheets are sent out to each department head at the beginning of December, we will request paperwork of any federal awards that have been received throughout the year to make sure they are getting reported accurately.

The County of Morgan Versailles, Missouri Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, this section reports the auditor's follow-up on action taken by Morgan County, Missouri, on the applicable findings in the prior audit report issued for the two years ended December 31, 2016 & 2015.

Prior Year Financial Statement Findings

1. <u>Internal Controls over Credit and Fuel Card Usage</u>

Condition: During our audit, we noted that the County's internal controls over the use of its Visa credit cards, Walmart Community cards, and fuel cards were inadequate. During the audit period, there were five Visa credit cards issued and over a dozen Walmart Community cards, and access to these cards was not centrally controlled as the employees who were issued cards carried them with them at all times. Having a large number of credit cards issued without controls over access to the cards greatly increases the risk of inappropriate use or loss of the cards. During 2017, the County Commission has taken steps to reduce the number of cards outstanding. Three Walmart Community cards that had been issued could not be located when the Commission was in the process of collecting the outstanding cards. There are now only three Walmart Community cards issued to County employees (Sheriff, Jail Administrator, and 911 supervisor) and one Visa credit card which is kept in a locked drawer in the Commissioner's office. Advance approval is now required before using one of the Walmart credit cards.

The County Commission has also taken steps to improve controls over fuel card usage by employees of the Sheriff's office. The new cards issued in 2017 require card users to enter the vehicle mileage and a unique vehicle PIN number to be entered at the pump, allowing the Sheriff and 911 supervisor to compare fuel expenditures to actual miles driven.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County continue to take steps to ensure that proper controls are in place to prevent inappropriate use of County credit cards through physical control of the cards and requiring proper approvals prior to use.

County's Response: In addition to improved controls over fuel cards, all remaining County issued credit cards have been turned into the County Commission and distributed upon request and approval of purchases.

Auditor's Response: The response is appropriate to correct the concern.

Status: This finding is no longer applicable.

2. Accounting for Transfers

Condition: The financial statements of the County as presented in the annual budget documents present transfers between funds. The recorded transfers out did not equal the recorded transfers in for either 2016 or 2015. This was the result of transfers out misclassified as expenditures and transfers in misclassified as revenues. In most instances, one side of the transfer would be booked to a "miscellaneous" revenue or expense account resulting in an imbalance between transfers in and out. This has the effect of overstating expenditures and revenues as recorded in the annual budget documents. All transfers out must be accompanied by a corresponding transfer in. The financial statements included in this report have been adjusted so that transfers in and out between funds are equal as of December 31, 2016 and 2015.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Prior Year Financial Statement Findings (continued)

2. Accounting for Transfers (continued)

Recommendation: In order to ensure that transfers are properly reported and in balance, we recommend transfers out always be accompanied by an equal transfer in and that the transfers be clearly identified in the accounting system and on the annual budget.

County's Response: Morgan County accepts the recommendation and will make the necessary changes to ensure transfers are more clearly identified.

Auditor's Response: The response is appropriate to correct the concern.

Status: Management has not corrected this issue, therefore, this finding is repeated as 2020-005 in the current year.

3. Budgetary Controls

Condition: Actual expenditures exceeded budgeted expenditures for eight funds in 2015 and five funds in 2016. The detailed list of funds can be found in Note 1.D.10 to the financial statements. RSMo 50.740 prohibits expenditures in excess of the approved budgets. Budgetary controls are significant to the proper management and custodianship of county funds. Compliance with statutory requirements related to budgets will improve controls over county funds and help maintain the integrity of the budget process.

Recommendation: We recommend the County strictly adhere to the authorized spending limits as documented in the adopted County budget or follow the appropriate procedures to amend the budget.

County's Response: Morgan County began amending the budget in 2016 and will continue to monitor spending limits and adhere to budget limits or amend as required.

Auditor's Response: The response is appropriate to correct the concern.

Status: Management has not corrected this issue, therefore, this finding is repeated as 2020-001 in the current year.

4. Competitive Purchasing Procedures

Condition: During our audit, we noted two instances where the County purchased goods or services in excess of \$4,500 but no documentation of bidding or other competitive purchasing procedures could be provided. In 2015, the County purchased a piece of equipment for the Recorder's office for \$11,217. In 2016, the County purchased services for painting at the Justice Center for a total of \$8,966. In both cases, no documentation of bidding could be provided. RSMo 50.660 states that, "All contracts and purchases shall be let to the lowest and best bidder after due opportunity for competition...except that the advertising is not required in case of contracts or purchases involving an expenditure of less than six thousand dollars. It is not necessary to obtain bids on any purchase in the amount of four thousand five hundred dollars or less made from any one person, firm or corporation during any period of ninety days...." RSMo. 50.783.1 states that, "The county commission may waive the requirement of competitive bids or proposals for supplies when the commission has determined in writing and entered into the commission minutes that there is only a single feasible source for the supplies."

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Prior Year Financial Statement Findings (continued)

4. Competitive <u>Purchasing Procedures (continued)</u>

Recommendation: We recommend the County Commission solicit bids in accordance with Missouri state law and maintain bid documentation in conjunction with associated disbursement records in the County Clerk's office and include pertinent bid information in the Commission minutes.

County's Response: Morgan County recognizes that it failed to obtain bids on two separate occasions. While we feel only one single feasible source was available for the supplies, we did not attempt to solicit bids. Corrective action has been taken in the County Commission office to ensure that this will not happen in future purchases and that Morgan County follows the required bidding process as set forth in RSMo 50.783.1.

Auditor's Response: The response is appropriate to correct the concern.

Status: This finding is no longer applicable.

Prior Year Federal Award Findings

2016-001 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Federal Grantor: U.S. Department of Justice, U.S. Department of Transportation, U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Pass-Through Grantor: Missouri Department of Public Safety, Missouri Department of Transportation

Federal CFDA Number: 16.738, 20.205, 97.036

Program Title: Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant, Highway Planning and Construction, Disaster Grants – Public Assistance (Presidentially-declared Disasters)

Pass-through Entity Identifying Number: 2013-JAG-020, 2014-JAG-019, 2015-JAG-020, BROB071(9), BROB071(10)

Award Year: 2016 and 2015

Questioned Costs: None

Criteria: 2 CFR 200.510(b) requires auditees to prepare a schedule of expenditures of federal awards which must report total federal awards expended during the audit period. At a minimum, the schedule must include: expenditures by individual federal program, name of the pass-through entity and identifying number for awards not received directly from the federal government, and the total amount provided to subrecipients from each federal program.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Prior Year Federal Award Findings (continued)

2016-001 Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (continued)

(cont.)

Condition: The schedules of expenditures of federal awards (SEFA) reported by the County in the 2015 and 2016 annual budget documents did not report all federal awards expended. The 2015 SEFA reported total federal award expenditures of \$134,307, while the 2016 SEFA reported \$0. However, during the audit we discovered an additional \$1,147,692 of federal award expenditures in 2015 and \$294,737 in 2016. The County Drug Task Force fund received federal money from the Missouri Department of Public Safety in 2015 and 2016 that was not reported on the SEFA. The County carried out two BRO bridge projects that were reimbursed with federal money passed through the Missouri Department of Transportation that were not reported on the SEFA. In 2016, the County received reimbursements of federal funds from the Missouri State Emergency Management Agency for disaster assistance expenses that were not reported on the SEFA. Findings related to the preparation of the SEFA have been noted in several past audits of the County.

Cause: The County may not have known about the existence of these federal awards because the money was received from State level agencies rather than from the federal government.

Effect: The SEFA presented for audit did not accurately reflect the County's actual expenditures of federal awards for both the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. The likelihood of noncompliance with federal award requirements applicable to certain awards is increased when the County is not aware that a grant is a federal award. The SEFA presented in this report has been corrected.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County implement procedures to ensure that the SEFA encompasses all federal awards received by the County. The County needs to be more diligent at identifying awards of federal funds that are passed through from State agencies.

County's Response: Morgan County will work on establishing a procedure to ensure that the SEFA encompasses all federal awards received by the County.

Status: Management has not corrected this issue, therefore, this finding is repeated as 2020-006 in the current year.

2016-002 Cash Management Procedures

Federal Grantor: U.S. Department of Transportation

Pass-Through Grantor: Missouri Department of Transportation

Federal CFDA Number: 20.205

Program Title: Highway Planning and Construction

Pass-through Entity Identifying Number: BROB071(9), BRO-B071(10)

Award Year: 2015

Questioned Costs: None

48

The County of Morgan Versailles, Missouri Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Prior Year Federal Award Findings (continued)

2016-002 Cash Management Procedures (cont.)

Criteria: Federal award requirements state that recipients receiving advances of federal funds must implement procedures to minimize the time elapsing between the receipt of federal funds and the disbursement of the funds. BRO program regulations state that Local Public Agencies (Morgan County) must "develop cash management procedures to ensure payment is made to the contractor/consultant within two (2) business days of receipt of funds from MoDOT."

Condition: During our audit, we analyzed all receipts and disbursements on both BRO projects during 2015 and noted several instances where the County wrote checks to the consulting engineers and construction contractors on both BRO projects well after the reimbursement from MoDOT was received into the County's bank account. The County typically submitted invoices to MoDOT for reimbursement prior to making the payment on the invoice to the engineer or construction contractor. For BRO-B071(9), there were two checks totaling \$5,942 that were written 39 and 49 days after receipt of funds from MoDOT. For BRO-B071(10), there were seven checks totaling \$342,678 that were written between 8 and 46 days after receipt of funds from MoDOT.

Cause: The County does not have access to online banking, therefore, they are not aware of electronic receipts such as those from MoDOT until they receive notice from the bank. As some of the disbursements under this grant are quite large, the County preferred to wait until funds were received from MoDOT prior to paying vendors.

Effect: The grant is intended to be a reimbursement type grant, however, the County may have inappropriately earned interest on the federal funds due to the delay in payments.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County implement procedures to ensure that federal funds are disbursed in a timely manner in accordance with federal regulations and the terms and conditions of federal awards.

County's Response: Morgan County will not be implementing online banking. At this point we have no choice until the Treasurer receives notification from our financial institution that funding has been received and then payment will be made. Morgan County records show that when notification of funds had been received, payments were made in a timely manner.

Status: This finding is no longer applicable.



Morgan County Commission

100 E. Newton Versailles, Missouri 65084 573-378-4643

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-001

Planned Corrective Action: The County will review all budgeted expenditures quarterly (March, June, September, and December.) Any budgets over will be amended and adjusted appropriately. HAVA and Little Proctor Overlay will be added to the budget accordingly.

Name of Contact Person: County Clerk, Aimee Worthley. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-002

Planned Corrective Action: Without more information, we must assume that the formula errors were literal errors manifested in the Excel spreadsheets used to compute the budgets. The budget for 2019 was prepared by the previous Executive Director. The current Executive Director assumed the position in August of 2019. The 2020 budget was prepared using the 2019 budget as a template. The 2021 budget was prepared via QuickBooks. All future budgets will utilize QuickBooks to organize, compute, and report budgets.

Name of Contact Person: Morgan County Senate Bill 40 Board Executive Director, Myrna Blaine. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-003

Planned Corrective Action: The County will implement a fraud risk assessment process by the end of the year. This assessment will be reviewed and updated on an annual basis to review any areas for concern.

Name of Contact Person: County Clerk, Aimee Worthley. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-004

Planned Corrective Action: The County Clerk had been using a different method of keeping track of bank records but began implementing the recommended procedure of bank reconciliation after the issue was noted by the auditors.

Name of Contact Person: County Clerk, Aimee Worthley. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-005

Planned Corrective Action: The County Law Enforcement Restitution Fund has no line item for the Law Enforcement Sales Tax transfer. A line item will be added to the next budget cycle.

Name of Contact Person: County Clerk, Aimee Worthley. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-006

Planned Corrective Action: When budget sheets are sent out to each department head at the beginning of December, we will request paperwork of any federal awards that have been received throughout the year to make sure they are getting reported accurately.

Name of Contact Person: County Clerk, Aimee Worthley. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.