

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Cooper County, Missouri

The Office of the State Auditor contracted for an audit of Cooper County's financial statements for the 2 years ended December 31, 2020, through the state Office of Administration, Division of Purchasing and Materials Management. The audit includes an audit of each county officer in fulfillment of our duties under Section 29.230.1, RSMo. A copy of this audit, performed by Stopp & VanHoy, Certified Public Accountants, and Business Advisors, LLC, is attached.

Nicole R. Galloway, CPA State Auditor

September 2021 Report No. 2021-078



RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY

Recommendations in the contracted audit of Cooper County

2021-001	The County periodically review its actual expenditures as compared to budgeted amounts. An amended budget should be prepared and approved as necessary to comply with statutes. Additionally, the County should prepare a budget for all applicable funds which does not exceed available fund balances including estimated revenues for the year.
2020-002	The County address various risks in the environment, including risk of fraud occurring by performing assessments to identify, analyze, and manage these risks.
2020-003	The County develop the required internal control documentation. In addition, we recommend studying the COSO internal control guidance and tools as a means to begin the process. Once this documentation is complete, those charged with governance have a responsibility to understand the controls and ensure they are operating effectively.
2020-004	Management develop internal controls over reporting and consult with outside accountants, if possible, to ensure an accurate Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) is prepared.
2020-005	Management strengthen internal controls over review and approval of COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund applications to ensure that duplicate payments are not made. It was noted that the County did discover the error after the end of the fiscal year, and as such, the funds have since been returned by the subrecipient.

The County of Cooper Boonville, Missouri Independent Auditor's Report and Financial Statements For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019



The County of Cooper Boonville, Missouri Table of Contents

	Page
Financial Section	
Independent Auditor's Report.	1
Financial Statements:	
Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash	
All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis	
For the year ended December 31, 2020	4
For the year ended December 31, 2019.	5
Comparative Statements of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash	
Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis	
For the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019.	6
Statements of Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions - Custodial Funds - Regulatory Basis	21
Notes to the Financial Statements.	22
Federal Compliance Section	
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance	
and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with	
Government Auditing Standards	32
Report on Compliance for the Major Federal Program and Report on	
Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with the Uniform Guidance	34
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.	37
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.	39
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.	41
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs	45



Independent Auditor's Report

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Cooper County, Missouri

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Cooper County, Missouri, as of and for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Cooper County, Missouri's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law to demonstrate compliance with the State of Missouri's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statements, the financial statements are prepared by Cooper County, Missouri on the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law to

demonstrate compliance with the State of Missouri's regulatory basis of accounting and budget laws, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statements of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in *the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* paragraph, the financial statements referred to above do not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of each fund of Cooper County, Missouri as of December 31, 2020 and 2019, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the years then ended.

Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the cash balances of each fund of Cooper County, Missouri as of December 31, 2020 and 2019, and their respective cash receipts and disbursements, and budgetary results for the years then ended in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law as described in Note 1.

Other Matters

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Cooper County, Missouri's basic financial statements. The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, as required by the audit requirements of Title 2 *U.S. Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance), is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated August 27, 2021 on our consideration of Cooper County, Missouri's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an

opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Cooper County, Missouri's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Creve Coeur, Missouri

Stopp & Vantlay

August 27, 2021

Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis For the year ended December 31, 2020

Fund		Equivalents ry 1, 2020	Receipts 2020]	Disbursements 2020	nd Equivalents nber 31, 2020
General Revenue		\$ 2,776,221	\$ 3,087,985	\$	3,742,504	\$ 2,121,702
Special Road and Bridge		1,157,107	1,543,287		1,641,903	1,058,491
Assessment		282,505	410,310		389,114	303,701
Road and Bridge Trust		1,914,531	1,097,431		667,749	2,344,213
Enhanced 911		50,911	675,481		623,594	102,798
Law Enforcement Center		673,550	1,287,525		1,166,548	794,527
Election Services		31,744	9,717		5,179	36,282
Collector's Tax Maintenance		64,772	26,613		27,650	63,735
Recorder of Deeds User Fee		40,459	7,493		5,532	42,420
Recorder's Technical		36,622	4,608		5,340	35,890
Law Enforcement Training		28,590	6,375		2,989	31,976
Sheriff		26,100	8,852		21,896	13,056
Sheriff Interest		4,206	68		-	4,274
Prosecuting Attorney Training		2,468	2,955		90	5,333
Prosecuting Attorney Bad Check		15,553	2,610		655	17,508
Adult Abuse		2,168	3,405		3,391	2,182
Law Enforcement Restitution		21,872	21,346		-	43,218
Overton Wooldridge Levee		254,863	42,045		88,072	208,836
Sheriff Revolving		25,333	10,554		16,385	19,502
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant		-	-		-	-
Delinquent Tax		8,838	1,596		1,196	9,238
FEMA Reimbursement		-	-		-	-
Security Inmate		31,689	45,677		37,451	39,915
Administrative Handling Cost		11,067	2,274		1,583	11,758
Local Emergency Planning Committee		20,830	3,244		1,198	22,876
CARES Act Election Grant		-	28,436		28,436	-
COVID-19 Relief Grant		 	2,082,875		1,804,889	 277,986
	Total	\$ 7,481,999	\$ 10,412,762	\$	10,283,344	\$ 7,611,417

Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis For the year ended December 31, 2019

Fund	Cash and Equivalents January 1, 2019				Receipts 2019	-	Disbursements 2019	Cash and Equivalents December 31, 2019		
General Revenue		\$	2,576,555	\$	2,967,626	\$	2,767,960	\$	2,776,221	
Special Road and Bridge		Ψ	1,270,243	Ψ	1,503,903	Ψ	1,617,039	Ψ	1,157,107	
Assessment			273,964		313,651		305,110		282,505	
Road and Bridge Trust			1,323,594		1,092,089		501,152		1,914,531	
Enhanced 911			130,214		551,845		631,148		50,911	
Law Enforcement Center			542,822		1,312,380		1,181,652		673,550	
Election Services			41,650		30,448		40,354		31,744	
Collector's Tax Maintenance			63,600		26,678		25,506		64,772	
Recorder of Deeds User Fee			38,198		6,437		4,176		40,459	
Recorder's Technical			32,818		4,111		307		36,622	
Law Enforcement Training			24,300		6,405		2,115		28,590	
Sheriff			33,160		15,543		22,603		26,100	
Sheriff Interest			4,102		104		, -		4,206	
Prosecuting Attorney Training			1,751		1,343		626		2,468	
Prosecuting Attorney Bad Check			12,410		3,822		679		15,553	
Adult Abuse			2,042		4,027		3,901		2,168	
Law Enforcement Restitution			-		21,872		-		21,872	
Overton Wooldridge Levee			268,465		36,628		50,230		254,863	
Sheriff Revolving			17,160		9,695		1,522		25,333	
Local Law Enforcement Block Grant			4,149		48		4,197		-	
Delinquent Tax			8,685		764		611		8,838	
FEMA Reimbursement			-		-		-		-	
Security Inmate			41,594		26,266		36,171		31,689	
Administrative Handling Cost			9,911		3,284		2,128		11,067	
Local Emergency Planning Committee			19,471		3,685		2,326		20,830	
CARES Act Election Grant			-		-		-		-	
COVID-19 Relief Grant							-			
	Total	\$	6,740,858	\$	7,942,654	\$	7,201,513	\$	7,481,999	

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

				General Re	venue	Fund		
		20	20			20	19	
	<u> </u>	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts		_		_		_		_
Property Taxes	\$	591,000	\$	590,706	\$	610,000	\$	593,523
Sales Taxes		1,250,000		1,466,241		1,175,000		1,382,365
Intergovernmental		430,888		393,081		189,028		366,008
Charges for Services		420,745		486,696		432,315		414,470
Interest		50,000		28,348		30,000		79,135
Other Receipts		27,000		87,414		92,800		132,125
Transfers In		15,000		35,499				
Total Receipts		2,784,633		3,087,985		2,529,143		2,967,626
<u>Disbursements</u>								
County Commission		194,967		193,198		159,589		141,717
County Clerk		146,237		125,946		161,911		143,881
Elections		164,448		204,041		72,320		66,614
Buildings and Grounds		779,011		781,118		774,458		190,818
Employee Fringe Benefits		343,975		312,311		354,400		293,297
County Treasurer		71,090		66,378		68,164		65,239
County Collector		106,940		108,760		107,853		107,485
Recorder of Deeds		99,290		97,167		96,773		97,541
Circuit Clerk		12,520		8,133		12,200		8,746
Court Administration		47,800		31,925		59,800		57,558
Public Administrator		91,990		76,920		83,959		80,215
Sheriff		588,774		497,377		485,569		461,414
Jail		109,500		84,662		65,600		46,335
Prosecuting Attorney		362,817		360,856		362,847		355,143
Juvenile Officer		46,983		31,623		44,855		37,804
County Coroner		44,800		29,558		39,544		33,075
Child Support Enforcement		203,415		190,423		175,320		168,292
Emergency Management		171,605		119,083		180,950		106,273
Other Disbursements		398,703		307,610		268,960		266,097
Transfers Out		15,415		115,415		15,416		40,416
Emergency Fund		100,000		-		100,000		-
Total Disbursements		4,100,280		3,742,504		3,690,488		2,767,960
Receipts Over (Under)								
Disbursements	\$	(1,315,647)	\$	(654,519)	\$	(1,161,345)	\$	199,666
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		2,776,221		2,776,221		2,576,555		2,576,555
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	1,460,574	\$	2,121,702	\$	1,415,210	\$	2,776,221

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			Special Road as	nd Brid	lge Fund		
	20	20	•		20	19	,
	 Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
<u>Receipts</u>							
Property Taxes	\$ 775,000	\$	833,531	\$	775,000	\$	769,533
Sales Taxes	-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental	565,980		590,222		559,980		607,739
Charges for Services	-		_		-		-
Interest	25,000		14,258		17,500		31,289
Other Receipts	16,500		55,276		23,486		79,107
Transfers In	50,000		50,000		100,000		16,235
Total Receipts	 1,432,480		1,543,287		1,475,966		1,503,903
<u>Disbursements</u>							
Salaries	565,266		547,933		548,500		551,480
Employee Fringe Benefits	188,500		172,254		187,000		172,607
Supplies	209,500		159,282		199,500		191,623
Insurance	35,000		22,930		35,000		23,937
Road and Bridge Materials	134,000		108,649		134,000		125,757
Equipment Repairs	90,000		71,560		85,000		87,343
Rentals	179,000		173,469		311,000		306,131
Equipment Purchases	200,000		108,718		200,000		31,495
Road and Bridge Construction	357,500		159,855		7,500		4,381
Other Disbursements	125,000		117,253		126,000		122,285
Transfers Out	-		-		-		-
Total Disbursements	 2,083,766		1,641,903		1,833,500		1,617,039
Receipts Over (Under)							
Disbursements	\$ (651,286)	\$	(98,616)	\$	(357,534)	\$	(113,136)
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1	 1,157,107		1,157,107		1,270,243		1,270,243
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$ 505,821	\$	1,058,491	\$	912,709	\$	1,157,107

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Assessment Fund								Road and Bridge Trust Fund							
		202	20			20	19			20:	20			20	19	
	H	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		975,000		1,056,223		950,000		1,015,674
Intergovernmental		288,018		378,570		286,190		286,265		600,000		-		-		34,693
Charges for Services		3,635		6,868		3,000		3,692		-		-		-		-
Interest		7,453		3,412		6,000		8,278		30,000		18,901		17,500		41,722
Other Receipts		-		-		26,858		-		-		22,307		-		-
Transfers In		15,415		21,460		15,416		15,416		=_		=_		<u> </u>		=_
Total Receipts		314,521		410,310		337,464		313,651		1,605,000		1,097,431		967,500		1,092,089
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		182,791		199,513		176,733		182,025		-		-		-		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		61,236		54,666		60,286		52,314		-		-		-		-
Materials and Supplies		21,500		17,840		19,500		19,224		400,500		440,860		500		-
Services		42,100		31,071		62,100		20,708		2,000		1,659		2,000		1,526
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-		-		_		-		-
Capital Outlay		81,000		86,024		33,360		30,839		1,800,000		175,230		865,000		483,391
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-		50,000		50,000		100,000		16,235
Total Disbursements		388,627		389,114		351,979		305,110		2,252,500		667,749		967,500		501,152
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(74,106)	\$	21,196	\$	(14,515)	\$	8,541	\$	(647,500)	\$	429,682	\$	-	\$	590,937
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		282,505		282,505		273,964		273,964		1,914,531		1,914,531		1,323,594		1,323,594
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	208,399	\$	303,701	\$	259,449	\$	282,505	\$	1,267,031	\$	2,344,213	\$	1,323,594	\$	1,914,531

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			d 911 Fund						
	20	20			20	19			
	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual		
Receipts	_		_		_		_		
Property Taxes	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Sales Taxes	487,500		528,110		475,000		507,837		
Intergovernmental	-		-		-		-		
Charges for Services	-		-		-		-		
Interest	2,000		1,023		2,000		2,561		
Other Receipts	271,500		11,348		1,500		6,447		
Transfers In	35,000		135,000		35,000		35,000		
Total Receipts	 796,000		675,481		513,500		551,845		
<u>Disbursements</u>									
Salaries	475,796		411,396		464,211		392,838		
Employee Fringe Benefits	125,430		98,543		124,536		98,733		
Materials and Supplies	3,300		940		4,000		1,871		
Services	53,800		38,954		35,800		46,658		
Other Disbursements	52,000		35,902		85,000		60,015		
Capital Outlay	127,500		37,859		117,500		31,033		
Transfers Out	- -		, -		-		,		
Total Disbursements	837,826		623,594		831,047		631,148		
Receipts Over (Under)									
Disbursements	\$ (41,826)	\$	51,887	\$	(317,547)	\$	(79,303)		
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1	 50,911		50,911		130,214		130,214		
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$ 9,085	\$	102,798	\$	(187,333)	\$	50,911		

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

		Law Enforcement	ent Center Fund		Election Services Fund									
	20)20	20	19	20:	20	20	19						
	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual	Budget	Actual						
Receipts														
Property Taxes	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -						
Sales Taxes	975,000	1,056,223	950,000	1,015,674	-	-	-	-						
Intergovernmental	_	-	-	-	4,000	9,442	850	-						
Charges for Services	198,000	167,309	203,000	222,492	-	-	-	-						
Interest	12,000	7,284	8,000	15,664	800	275	500	948						
Other Receipts	28,000	26,709	27,500	28,550	-	-	-	4,500						
Transfers In	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000		<u> </u>	25,000	25,000						
Total Receipts	1,243,000	1,287,525	1,218,500	1,312,380	4,800	9,717	26,350	30,448						
<u>Disbursements</u>														
Salaries	717,828	651,574	615,740	576,465	-	-	-	-						
Employee Fringe Benefits	142,975	155,631	141,000	126,010	-	-	-	-						
Materials and Supplies	99,500	90,426	89,500	87,086	-	-	-	-						
Services	220,800	186,143	215,000	187,910	2,000	267	20,000	-						
Other Disbursements	3,000	1,284	2,500	2,530	17,000	-	-	-						
Capital Outlay	50,000	46,490	48,000	41,558	12,000	4,912	45,000	40,354						
Debt Service	-	-	125,166	125,093	-	-	-	-						
Transfers Out	35,000	35,000	35,000	35,000	-	-	-	-						
Total Disbursements	1,269,103	1,166,548	1,271,906	1,181,652	31,000	5,179	65,000	40,354						
Receipts Over (Under)														
Disbursements	\$ (26,103)	\$ 120,977	\$ (53,406)	\$ 130,728	\$ (26,200)	\$ 4,538	\$ (38,650)	\$ (9,906)						
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1	673,550	673,550	542,822	542,822	31,744	31,744	41,650	41,650						
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$ 647,447	\$ 794,527	\$ 489,416	\$ 673,550	\$ 5,544	\$ 36,282	\$ 3,000	\$ 31,744						

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Collector's Tax Maintenance Fund								Recorder of Deeds User Fee Fund								
		2020				20	19			20	20			20	19		
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual	I	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual	
Receipts			'					_							'		
Property Taxes	\$	_	\$	_	\$	-	\$	=	\$	-	\$	=	\$	-	\$	-	
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Intergovernmental		-		_		-		=		-		=		-		-	
Charges for Services		25,000		26,086		25,000		24,949		5,500		7,152		5,500		5,488	
Interest		750		527		750		1,729		500		341		-		949	
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Transfers In								-		<u>-</u>		-				-	
Total Receipts		25,750		26,613		25,750		26,678		6,000		7,493		5,500		6,437	
<u>Disbursements</u>																	
Salaries		-		-		-		-		-		_		-		_	
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		=	
Materials and Supplies		19,000		6,125		12,000		9,600		-		_		-		_	
Services		4,000		1,909		4,000		1,475		5,000		3,436		3,000		=	
Other Disbursements		10,000		4,365		10,000		6,385		1,000		1,096		3,000		-	
Capital Outlay		15,000		15,251		30,000		8,046		5,000		1,000		5,000		4,176	
Transfers Out		-		-		_		-		-		-		-		-	
Total Disbursements		48,000		27,650		56,000		25,506		11,000		5,532		11,000		4,176	
Receipts Over (Under)																	
Disbursements	\$	(22,250)	\$	(1,037)	\$	(30,250)	\$	1,172	\$	(5,000)	\$	1,961	\$	(5,500)	\$	2,261	
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		64,772		64,772		63,600		63,600		40,459		40,459		38,198		38,198	
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	42,522	\$	63,735	\$	33,350	\$	64,772	\$	35,459	\$	42,420	\$	32,698	\$	40,459	

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			R	ecorder's Te	chnica	al Fund			Law Enforcement Training Fund							
		20	20			20	19			20	20			20	19	
	I	Budget		Actual	I	Budget		Actual]	Budget		Actual]	Budget		Actual
Receipts																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		1,500		1,009		1,800		1,190
Charges for Services		3,500		4,314		3,500		3,285		2,500		2,326		3,500		2,616
Interest		500		294		-		826		300		252		200		599
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		2,000		2,788		1,000		2,000
Transfers In		-		-		-		-		_		-				-
Total Receipts		4,000		4,608		3,500		4,111		6,300		6,375		6,500		6,405
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		-		-		-		=		-		_		-		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		=		-		_		-		-
Materials and Supplies		500		350		500		=		-		_		-		-
Services		-		-		-		=		5,000		1,319		5,000		2,115
Other Disbursements		500		495		500		307		500		-		500		-
Capital Outlay		5,000		4,495		5,000		_		2,000		1,670		2,000		-
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Disbursements		6,000		5,340		6,000		307		7,500		2,989		7,500		2,115
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(2,000)	\$	(732)	\$	(2,500)	\$	3,804	\$	(1,200)	\$	3,386	\$	(1,000)	\$	4,290
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		36,622		36,622		32,818		32,818		28,590		28,590		24,300		24,300
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	34,622	\$	35,890	\$	30,318	\$	36,622	\$	27,390	\$	31,976	\$	23,300	\$	28,590

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Sheriff Fund								Sheriff Interest Fund									
		20	20			20	19			20	20			20	19			
		Budget		Actual]	Budget		Actual	E	Budget	A	Actual		Budget	A	Actual		
Receipts					'													
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
Sales Taxes		_		-		_		-		-		-		-		=		
Intergovernmental		=		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		
Charges for Services		15,100		8,740		15,100		14,897		-		-		-		-		
Interest		500		112		250		646		80		36		40		99		
Other Receipts		2,000		-		2,000		-		6		32		6		5		
Transfers In		<u>-</u>		<u>-</u> _				-		-				-				
Total Receipts		17,600		8,852		17,350		15,543		86		68		46		104		
<u>Disbursements</u>																		
Salaries		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		
Employee Fringe Benefits		_		-		_		-		-		-		_		=		
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		_		
Services		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		_		
Other Disbursements		2,000		2,247		2,000		7,603		3,500		-		3,500		=		
Capital Outlay		23,000		19,649		23,000		15,000		-		-		-		-		
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		
Total Disbursements		25,000		21,896		25,000		22,603		3,500		-		3,500		-		
Receipts Over (Under)																		
Disbursements	\$	(7,400)	\$	(13,044)	\$	(7,650)	\$	(7,060)	\$	(3,414)	\$	68	\$	(3,454)	\$	104		
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		26,100		26,100		33,160		33,160		4,206		4,206		4,102		4,102		
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	18,700	\$	13,056	\$	25,510	\$	26,100	\$	792	\$	4,274	\$	648	\$	4,206		

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

			uting Attor	ney Tra	ining Fund				I	Prosect	ıting Attorn	ey Bac	l Check Fun	d		
		20	20			20	19			20	20			20	19	
	В	Budget		Actual	В	Budget		Actual	I	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts		_				_		_		_						
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	=	\$	-	\$	-	\$	=
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		=		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		=		-		-		-
Charges for Services		650		2,925		650		1,304		3,550		2,475		3,550		3,486
Interest		25		30		25		39		250		135		200		336
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers In				-						-				-		
Total Receipts		675		2,955		675		1,343		3,800		2,610		3,750		3,822
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		_		-		-		-
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-		1,500		75		2,000		-
Services		1,550		90		1,550		626		1,000		480		850		619
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-		250		100		250		60
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Disbursements		1,550		90		1,550		626		2,750		655		3,100		679
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(875)	\$	2,865	\$	(875)	\$	717	\$	1,050	\$	1,955	\$	650	\$	3,143
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		2,468		2,468		1,751		1,751		15,553		15,553		12,410		12,410
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	1,593	\$	5,333	\$	876	\$	2,468	\$	16,603	\$	17,508	\$	13,060	\$	15,553

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Adult Abuse Fund						Law Enforcement Restitution Fund									
		20	20			20	19			20	20			2	2019	
	Е	Budget		Actual	Е	Budget		Actual]	Budget		Actual	E	Budget		Actual
Receipts																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	=	\$	=	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		=		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		-		-		-		-		=		=		-		-
Charges for Services		-		3,398		-		4,000		20,000		21,092		-		21,655
Interest		-		7		-		27		200		254		-		217
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		=		=		-		-
Transfers In				-				-		-		-		-		
Total Receipts		-	'	3,405		-	'	4,027		20,200		21,346		-		21,872
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		-		-		-		-		=		-		-		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		_		_		-		-
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-		_		_		-		-
Services		-		3,391		-		3,901		_		_		-		-
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-		_		_		-		-
Capital Outlay		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Disbursements		-		3,391		-		3,901		-				-		-
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	-	\$	14	\$	-	\$	126	\$	20,200	\$	21,346	\$	-	\$	21,872
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		2,168		2,168		2,042		2,042		21,872		21,872		-		<u>-</u>
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	2,168	\$	2,182	\$	2,042	\$	2,168	\$	42,072	\$	43,218	\$	<u>-</u>	\$	21,872

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Overton Wooldridge Levee Fund						Sheriff Revolving Fund									
		20	20			20	19			20	20			20	19	
		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual	I	Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
Receipts																
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		10,747		24,964		25,000		31,529		-		=		-		-
Charges for Services		-		=		-		-		8,900		10,320		5,500		8,637
Interest		4,000		4,408		4,000		5,099		350		234		325		508
Other Receipts		-		12,673		-		_		550		-		200		550
Transfers In		_		-		-		_		-		-		-		-
Total Receipts		14,747		42,045		29,000		36,628		9,800		10,554		6,025		9,695
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		-		-		_		_		_		_		_		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		_		_		_		_		_		-
Materials and Supplies		450		428		450		411		_		_		_		-
Services		4,400		4,376		4,500		2,986		1,700		1,385		1,100		1,439
Other Disbursements		-		582		12,500		6,467		-		· -		-		-
Capital Outlay		50,000		82,686		35,000		40,366		1,500		-		1,500		83
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-		15,000		15,000		-		_
Total Disbursements		54,850		88,072		52,450		50,230		18,200		16,385		2,600		1,522
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	(40,103)	\$	(46,027)	\$	(23,450)	\$	(13,602)	\$	(8,400)	\$	(5,831)	\$	3,425	\$	8,173
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		254,863		254,863		268,465		268,465		25,333		25,333		17,160		17,160
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	214,760	\$	208,836	\$	245,015	\$	254,863	\$	16,933	\$	19,502	\$	20,585	\$	25,333

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

		Lo	cal Law	Enforcem	ent Blo	ock Grant Fu										
		20	20			20	19			20	20			20	19	
	В	udget	Ac	ctual		Budget		Actual	I	Budget		Actual	I	Budget	A	Actual
Receipts		_		_										_		_
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		9,999		-		-		-		1,500		1,519		1,500		559
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Interest		-		-		-		48		200		77		100		205
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers In		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Receipts		9,999		-		-		48		1,700		1,596		1,600		764
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Services		-		-		1,001		-		-		-		-		-
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		4,197		1,000		692		1,000		106
Capital Outlay		9,999		-		3,075		-		2,500		504		1,950		505
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Disbursements		9,999		-		4,076		4,197		3,500		1,196		2,950		611
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(4,076)	\$	(4,149)	\$	(1,800)	\$	400	\$	(1,350)	\$	153
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1						4,149		4,149		8,838		8,838		8,685		8,685
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	-	\$	_	\$	73	\$	-	\$	7,038	\$	9,238	\$	7,335	\$	8,838

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

${\bf Budget\ and\ Actual,\ All\ Governmental\ Funds:\ Regulatory\ Basis}$

			FEN	IA Reimb	ursemen					Security Inmate Fund						
		20	20			20)19			20	20			20	19	
	В	Budget	A	ctual	Bu	ıdget	A	ctual		Budget		Actual		Budget		Actual
<u>Receipts</u>					. <u></u>											
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Intergovernmental		7,500		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-		23,000		45,179		32,100		25,013
Interest		-		-		-		-		1,200		498		-		1,253
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers In		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Total Receipts		7,500		-		-		-		24,200		45,677		32,100		26,266
<u>Disbursements</u>																
Salaries		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		_		-		_		_
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-		8,000		7,451		8,000		6,171
Services		-		-		-		-		_		=		_		-
Other Disbursements		-		-		-		-		_		=		_		-
Capital Outlay		7,500		-		-		-		-		-		-		-
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-		30,000		30,000		30,000		30,000
Total Disbursements		7,500		-		-		-		38,000		37,451		38,000		36,171
Receipts Over (Under)																
Disbursements	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(13,800)	\$	8,226	\$	(5,900)	\$	(9,905)
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		<u>-</u>				<u>-</u>				31,689		31,689		41,594		41,594
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	17,889	\$	39,915	\$	35,694	\$	31,689

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	Administrative Ha					·				Local Emergency Planning Committee Fund							
		20)20			20	19			20	20			20	19		
	I	Budget		Actual	I	Budget		Actual]	Budget		Actual]	Budget		Actual	
<u>Receipts</u>			,						,				,				
Property Taxes	\$	=	\$	=	\$	=	\$	-	\$	-	\$	=	\$	-	\$	=	
Sales Taxes		=		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Intergovernmental		=		=		=		-		3,200		3,063		3,200		3,198	
Charges for Services		-		2,179		2,500		3,044		-		=		-		-	
Interest		-		95		75		240		350		181		300		487	
Other Receipts		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Transfers In		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Total Receipts		-		2,274		2,575		3,284		3,550		3,244		3,500		3,685	
<u>Disbursements</u>																	
Salaries		=		=		-		=		-		=		-		=	
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		=		-		=		-		=		-		=	
Materials and Supplies		-		=		-		=		250		499		1,150		=	
Services		-		1,583		2,870		1,529		6,950		699		4,750		2,326	
Other Disbursements		-		=		=		=		-		=		-		=	
Capital Outlay		-		-		1,250		599		2,000		_		1,000		-	
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-		_		_		_		-	
Total Disbursements		-		1,583		4,120		2,128		9,200		1,198		6,900		2,326	
Receipts Over (Under)																	
Disbursements	\$	-	\$	691	\$	(1,545)	\$	1,156	\$	(5,650)	\$	2,046	\$	(3,400)	\$	1,359	
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1		11,067		11,067		9,911		9,911		20,830		20,830		19,471		19,471	
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	11,067	\$	11,758	\$	8,366	\$	11,067	\$	15,180	\$	22,876	\$	16,071	\$	20,830	

Comparative Statement of Receipts, Disbursements, and Changes in Cash

Budget and Actual, All Governmental Funds: Regulatory Basis

	CARES Act Election					ınt Fund						COVID-19 Relief Grant Fund					
		20)20			20	19			20)20			20	19		
	Bud	get		Actual	Bu	dget	Ac	tual	Bud	lget	1	Actual	Bu	dget	Actual	1	
<u>Receipts</u>																	
Property Taxes	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Sales Taxes		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Intergovernmental		-		28,390		-		-		-	2	2,077,619		-		-	
Charges for Services		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Interest		-		46		=		=		-		5,016		-		-	
Other Receipts		-		-		=		=		-		240		-		-	
Transfers In				-		-		-				-					
Total Receipts		-		28,436		-		-		-	2	2,082,875		-		-	
<u>Disbursements</u>																	
Salaries		-		_		_		_		-		-		-		-	
Employee Fringe Benefits		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Materials and Supplies		-		-		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Services		-		=		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Other Disbursements		-		=		-		-		-	1	,778,345		-		-	
Capital Outlay		-		28,436		-		-		-		-		-		-	
Transfers Out		-		-		-		-		-		26,544		-		-	
Total Disbursements		-		28,436		-		-		-	1	,804,889		-		-	
Receipts Over (Under)																	
Disbursements	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	277,986	\$	-	\$	-	
Cash and Equivalents, Jan 1																	
Cash and Equivalents, Dec 31	\$	_	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	277,986	\$		\$		

Statements of Assets and Liabilities Arising From Cash Transactions

Custodial Funds - Regulatory Basis

December 31, 2020 & 2019

					20)20					
	Collector	Recor	der		Sheriff		secuting torney	T	reasurer		Total
Assets											
Cash and Equivalents	\$ 14,695,571		14,663	\$	40,701	\$		\$	137,989	\$	14,888,924
Total Assets	14,695,571	1	14,663		40,701		-		137,989		14,888,924
Liabilities and Fund Balances											
Total Liabilities	14,695,571	1	14,663		40,701		-		137,989		14,888,924
	14,695,571	1	14,663		40,701		-		137,989		14,888,924
Fund Balances	-		-		_		-		-		_
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	\$ 14,695,571	\$ 1	14,663	\$	40,701	\$	-	\$	137,989	\$	14,888,924
					20)19	··				
							secuting				
	Collector	Recor	der		Sheriff	A1	torney	T	reasurer		Total
Assets				_		_		_		_	
Cash and Equivalents	\$ 15,186,910		10,758	\$	31,001	\$	2,026	\$	150,405	\$	15,381,100
Total Assets	15,186,910]	10,758		31,001		2,026		150,405		15,381,100
Liabilities and Fund Balances											
Total Liabilities	15,186,910	1	10,758		31,001		2,026		150,405		15,381,100
	15,186,910	1	10,758		31,001		2,026		150,405		15,381,100
Fund Balances					<u>-</u>		<u>-</u>		-		
Total Liabilities and Fund Balances	¢ 15 106 010	Φ 1	10.750	Φ.	21 001	Φ.	• • •	Φ.	1.50 40.5		15 201 100
	\$ 15,186,910	\$	10,758	\$	31,001	\$	2,026	\$	150,405	\$	15,381,100

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organized in 1818, the county of Cooper was named after pioneer settlers Sarshal and Benjamin Cooper. It is a third-class county, and the county seat is Boonville. Cooper County's government is composed of a three-member county commission and the following separately elected Constitutional Officers: County Clerk, Collector, Treasurer, Circuit Clerk, Recorder of Deeds, Sheriff, Assessor, Coroner, Public Administrator and Prosecuting Attorney.

As discussed further in Note 1, these financial statements are presented on the regulatory basis of accounting. This basis of accounting differs from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP).

Reporting Entity

As required by generally accepted accounting principles, as applicable to the regulatory basis of accounting, these financial statements present financial accountability of Cooper County, Missouri.

Cooper County's operations include tax assessments and collections, state/county courts, county recorder, public safety, transportation, economic development, social and human services, and cultural and recreation services.

The financial statements referred to above include only the primary government of Cooper County, Missouri, which consists of all funds, organizations, institutions, agencies, departments, and offices that are considered to comprise Cooper County's legal entity. The Cooper County Senate Bill 40 Board is controlled by a separate board and is also included under the control of Cooper County.

The financial statements do not include financial data for the County's legally separate component unit, which accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as applicable to the regulatory basis of accounting, require to be reported with the financial data of the County. In accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, as applicable to the regulatory basis of accounting, the Cooper County Senate Bill 40 Board has issued separate reporting entity financial statements. For information on this component unit, please contact the Senate Bill 40 Board at (660) 882-5112 or write to P.O. Box 32, Boonville, Missouri, 65233.

Certain elected County officials, such as the County Collector, Treasurer, and Sheriff, collect and hold monies in a trustee capacity as an agent of an individual, taxing units, or other governments. These assets, which are held by these officeholders for the sole benefit of external parties, are included in the Statements of Assets and Liabilities Arising from Cash Transactions - Custodial Funds - Regulatory Basis.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements present the receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash of all funds of Cooper County, Missouri, and the comparisons of such information with the corresponding budgeted information for all funds of the County. The funds presented are established under statutory or administrative authority, and their operations are under the control of the County Commission or an elected county official. The General Revenue Fund is the County's general operation fund, accounting for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The other funds presented account for financial resources whose use is restricted for specified purposes.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Basis of Accounting

The financial statements were prepared using accounting practices prescribed or permitted by Missouri law, which differ from accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The effects of the variances between these regulatory accounting practices and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

As a result of the use of this regulatory basis of accounting, certain assets (such as accounts receivable and capital assets), certain revenues (such as revenue for billed or provided services not yet collected), certain liabilities (such as accounts payable, certificates of participation, bonds, and obligations under capital leases), and certain expenditures (such as expenditures for goods or services received but not yet paid) are not recorded in these financial statements.

If Cooper County utilized the basis of accounting recognized as generally accepted, the fund financial statements for governmental funds would use the modified accrual basis of accounting, while the fund financial statements for proprietary fund types, if applicable, would use the accrual basis of accounting. All government-wide financials would be presented on the accrual basis of accounting.

Budget and Budgetary Accounting

In accordance with Chapter 50 RSMo, Cooper County adopts a budget for each governmental fund.

On or before January 15th, each elected official and department director will transmit to the County Clerk, who serves as budget officer, the budget request and revenue estimates for their office or department for the budget year.

The County Clerk submits to the County Commission a proposed budget for the fiscal year beginning January 1. The proposed budget includes estimated revenues and proposed expenditures for all budgeted funds. Budgeted expenditures cannot exceed beginning available monies plus estimated revenues for the year. Budgeting of appropriations is based upon an estimated unencumbered fund balance at the beginning of the year as well as estimated revenues to be received. The budget to actual comparisons in these financial statements, however, do not present encumbered fund balances, but only compare budgeted and actual revenues and expenditures.

A public hearing is conducted to obtain public comment. Prior to its approval by the County Commission, the budget document is available for public inspection.

Prior to February 1, the budget is legally enacted by a vote of the County Commission.

Subsequent to its formal approval of the budget, the County Commission has the authority to make necessary adjustments to the budget by formal vote of the Commission. Adjustments made during the year are reflected in the budget financial statements.

Budgeted amounts are as originally adopted, or as amended by the County Commission throughout the year.

Budgets are prepared and adopted on the cash basis of accounting.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Budget and Budgetary Accounting (continued)

During the audit, it was noted that the County was not in compliance with Missouri budgetary state statute Chapter 50 RSMo. The following funds had actual expenditures that exceeded budgeted expenditures in 2020: Assessment Fund and Overton Wooldridge Levee Fund. The following funds had actual expenditures that exceeded the budgeted expenditures in 2019: Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Fund. The following funds did not have a budget prepared in 2020: Adult Abuse Fund, Administrative Handling Cost Fund, CARES Act Election Grant Fund, and COVID-19 Relief Grant Fund. The following funds did not have a budget prepared in 2019: Adult Abuse Fund and Law Enforcement Restitution Fund. The following fund was budgeted at a deficit in 2019: Enhanced 911 Fund.

Taxes

Property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. Taxes are levied on October 1 and tax bills are mailed to taxpayers in November, at which time they are payable. All unpaid property taxes become delinquent as of January 1 of the following year.

The assessed valuation of the tangible taxable property, included within Cooper County's boundaries for the calendar year 2020 and 2019, respectively, for the purposes of County taxation was as follows:

	2020	2019
Real Estate	\$ 165,213,340	\$ 163,710,050
Personal Property	67,200,400	56,014,676
Railroad and Utilities	45,600,405	43,485,295
	\$ 278,014,145	\$ 263,210,021

The tax levy per \$100 assessed valuation of tangible taxable property for the calendar year 2020 and 2019, respectively, for the purpose of County taxation, was as follows:

	 2020	2019
General Revenue	\$ 0.2394	\$ 0.2021
Special Road and Bridge	0.3157	0.3157

The County also receives sales tax collected by the State and remitted based on the County's sales tax rate to the total sales tax collected in the County.

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

Cash Deposits and Investments

Deposits and investments are stated at cost, which approximates market. Cash balances for all the County Treasurer's funds are pooled and invested to the extent possible. Interest earned from such investments is allocated to each of the funds based on the funds' average daily cash balance. Cash equivalents include repurchase agreements and any other instruments with an original maturity of ninety days or less. State law authorizes the deposit of funds in banks and trust companies or the investment of funds in bonds or treasury certificates of the United States, other interest bearing obligations guaranteed as to both principal and interest by the United States, bonds of the State of Missouri or other government bonds, or time certificates of deposit, provided, however, that no such investments shall be purchased at a price in excess of par. Funds in the form of cash on deposit or time certificates of deposit are required to be insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or collateralized by authorized investments held in Cooper County's name at third-party banking institutions. Details of these cash balances are presented in Note 2.

Interfund Transactions

During the course of operations, interfund activity occurs for purposes of providing supplemental funding, reimbursements for goods provided or services rendered, or short and long-term financing.

Interfund activities are reported as "transfers in" by the recipient fund and as "transfers out" by the disbursing fund. However, interfund reimbursements have been eliminated from the financial statements in order that reimbursed expenditures are reported only in the funds incurring the costs.

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments

Cooper County maintains a cash and temporary investment pool that is available for use by all funds. Deposits with maturities greater than three months are considered investments. Each fund type's portion of this pool is displayed on the statement of receipts, disbursements, and changes in cash arising from cash transactions as "Cash and Equivalents". Cash held for others is displayed on the statement of assets and liabilities arising from cash transactions as "Cash and Equivalents."

Deposits - Missouri statutes require that all deposits with financial institutions be collateralized in an amount at least equal to uninsured deposits.

The bank balances and carrying values of deposits and investments shown below are included in the financial statements at December 31, 2020, as follows:

	Carrying Value	Bank Balances
Deposits	\$ 21,819,373	\$ 22,030,806
Investments	680,968	680,968
Total Deposits and Investments as of December 31, 2020	\$ 22,500,341	\$ 22,711,774
Total Cash and Equivalents - Governmental Funds	\$ 7,611,417	
Total Cash and Equivalents - Custodial Funds	14,888,924	
	\$ 22,500,341	

The County of Cooper Boonville, Missouri Notes to the Financial Statements

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments (continued)

The bank balances and carrying values of deposits and investments shown below are included in the financial statements at December 31, 2019, as follows:

	Carrying Value	Bank Balances
Deposits	\$ 22,186,213	\$ 20,522,035
Investments	676,886	676,886
Total Deposits and Investments as of December 31, 2019	\$ 22,863,099	\$ 21,198,921
Total Cash and Equivalents - Governmental Funds Total Cash and Equivalents - Custodial Funds	\$ 7,481,999 15,381,100 \$ 22,863,099	

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

For a deposit, custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the government's deposits may not be returned to it. At December 31, 2020 and 2019, 100% of Cooper County's deposits and investments were covered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Company (FDIC) or were collateralized.

Custodial Credit Risk - Investments

Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the government, and are held by the party that sold the security to Cooper County or its agent but not in the government's name. Cooper County does not have a policy for custodial credit risk relating to investments.

All investments, evidenced by individual securities, are registered in the name of Cooper County or of a type that are not exposed to custodial credit risk.

Investment Interest Rate Risk

Investment interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Cooper County does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates.

Concentration of Investment Credit Risk

Concentration of investment credit risk is required to be disclosed by Cooper County for any single investment that represents 5% or more of total investments (excluding investments issued by or explicitly guaranteed by the U. S. Government, investments in mutual funds, investments in external investment pools and investments in other pooled investments). Cooper County has no policy in place to minimize the risk of loss resulting from over concentration of assets of a specific maturity, specific issuer or specific class of securities.

Notes to the Financial Statements For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Note 2 - Deposits and Investments (continued)

Concentration of Investment Credit Risk (continued)

The following investments represent more than 5%, individually, of total investments:

Investment 2020 Balances % of Portfolio 2019 Balances % of Portfolio Issuer Type Central Bank Cert. of Deposit 350,000 51.40% 0.00% Peoples Bank of Moniteau Co. Cert. of Deposit 230,968 33.92% 450,000 66.48%

100,000

14.68%

226,886

33.52%

Note 3 - Interfund Transfers

Midwest Regional Bank

Transfers between funds for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 are as follows:

Cert. of Deposit

	2020					2019			
<u>Fund</u>	Transfers In		Transfers Out		Transfers In		Transfers Out		
General Revenue	\$	35,499	\$	115,415	\$	-	\$	40,416	
Special Road and Bridge		50,000		-		16,235		-	
Assessment		21,460		-		15,416		-	
Road and Bridge Trust		-		50,000		_		16,235	
Enhanced 911		135,000		-		35,000		-	
Law Enforcement Center		30,000		35,000		30,000		35,000	
Election Services		-		-		25,000		-	
Sheriff Revolving		-		15,000		-		-	
Security Inmate		-		30,000		-		30,000	
COVID-19 Relief Grant		-		26,544		-		-	
Total	\$	271,959	\$	271,959	\$	121,651	\$	121,651	

Note 4 - Long-Term Debt

Notes Payable

In 2016, the County entered in to a \$446,371 note payable for the purpose of refunding and redeeming the County's outstanding Leasehold Refunding Revenue Bonds (Cooper County Law Enforcement Center). The original bonds were issued for the purpose for providing funds to (1) pay the costs of acquiring, constructing, finishing, and equipping a law enforcement center, (2) to fund a bond reserve, and (3) to pay related issuance costs. Payments of \$41,728 are due quarterly with interest payable at 1.390%. This note was paid in full in 2019.

Balance at 12/31/18		Amount Amount Borrowed Repaid		Balance at 12/31/19		Amount Borrowed		Amount Repaid		. <u> </u>	Balance at 12/31/20	
\$	124,301	\$ -	\$	(124,301)	\$		\$	<u>-</u>	\$	-	\$	<u>-</u>

Interest expense for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 was \$0 and \$865.

Note 5 - County Employees' Retirement Fund (CERF)

Plan Description

The County Employees' Retirement Fund is a cost-sharing multiple employer defined benefit pension plan covering any county elected or appointed officer or employee whose performance requires the actual performance of duties during not less than one thousand (1,000) hours per calendar year in each county of the state, except for any city not within a county and any county of the first classification having a charter form of government. It does not include county prosecuting attorneys covered under Sections 56.800 to 56.840 RSMo., circuit clerks and deputy circuit clerks covered under the Missouri State Retirement System, county sheriffs covered under Sections 57.949 to 57.997 RSMo., and certain personnel not defined as an employee per Section 50.1000(8) RSMo. The Fund was created by an act of legislature and was effective August 28, 1994.

The general administration and the responsibility for the proper operation of the fund and the investment of the fund are vested in a board of directors of eleven persons.

Benefits Provided

Beginning January 1, 1997, employees attaining the age of sixty-two years may retire with full benefits with eight or more years of creditable service. The monthly benefit for County Employees is determined by selecting the highest benefit calculated using three different prescribed formulas (flat-dollar formula, targeted replacement ratio formula, and prior plan's formula). A death benefit of \$10,000 will be paid to the designated beneficiary of every active member upon his or her death.

Upon termination of employment, any member who is vested is entitled to a deferred annuity, payable at age sixty-two. Early retirement is at age fifty-five. Any member with less than eight years of creditable service forfeits all rights in the fund but will be paid his or her accumulated contributions.

The County Employees' Retirement Fund issues audited financial statements. Copies of these statements may be obtained from the Board of Directors of CERF by writing to CERF, 2121 Schotthill Woods Drive, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65101, by calling (573) 632-9203, or by visiting the CERF website at www.mocerf.org.

Contributions

Prior to January 1, 2003, participating county employees were required to make contributions equal to 2% of gross compensation. Effective January 1, 2003, participating county employees hired on or after February 25, 2002 are required to make contributions of 6%. If an employee leaves covered employment before attaining 8 years of creditable service, accumulated employee contributions are refunded to the employee. The contribution rate is set by state statute and may be amended only by action of the Missouri Legislature. Counties may elect to make all or a portion of the required 6% contribution on behalf of employees. The County collected and remitted CERF employee contributions of \$162,553 and \$151,892 for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, respectively.

Note 5 - County Employees' Retirement Fund (CERF) (continued)

Contributions (continued)

In addition to the above contributions required of employees, the following fees and penalties prescribed under Missouri law are required to be collected and remitted to CERF by counties covered by the plan:

- Late fees on filing of personal property tax declarations,
- Twenty dollars on each merchants and manufacturers license issued,
- Six dollars on each document recorded or filed with county recorders of deeds, with an additional one dollar
 on each document recorded,
- Three sevenths of the fee on delinquent property taxes, and
- Interest earned on investment of the above collections prior to remittance to CERF.

The County collected and remitted CERF fees and penalties of \$150,712 and \$145,231 for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, respectively.

Further information related to required contributions, pension benefits, other plan terms, and investments and related return and financial information can be found in the notes to the financial statements of CERF's Annual Financial Report.

Note 6 - Prosecuting Attorney Retirement Fund

In accordance with state statute Section 56.807 RSMo, Cooper County contributes monthly to the Missouri Office of Prosecution Services for deposit to the credit of the Missouri Prosecuting Attorneys' and Circuit Attorneys' Retirement System Fund. Once remitted, the State of Missouri is responsible for administration of this plan. Cooper County has contributed \$11,628 and \$10,225, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019.

Note 7 - Other Retirement Plan

Cooper County have 457 and 401(a) plans which are paid by a deduction from employees' salary. These contributions qualify under the Internal Revenue Code and are tax exempt. Employee contributions collected and remitted by the County for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 for the 457 plan were \$121,351 and \$115,744, respectively. Employee contributions collected and remitted by the County for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 for the 401(a) plan were \$20,865 and \$19,690, respectively.

Note 8 - Post-Employment Benefits

Cooper County does not provide post-employment benefits except as mandated by the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA). The requirements established by COBRA are fully funded by employees who elect coverage under the Act, and no direct costs are incurred by Cooper County.

Note 9 - Claims, Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

The County is subject to various claims and legal proceedings covering a wide range of matters that arise in the ordinary course of its business activities. Management believes that any liability that may ultimately result from the resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition of the County.

Compensated Absences

The County provides employees with up to fifteen days of paid vacation based upon the number of years of continuous service. This ranges from getting five days after the first year of service, ten days between two years and twelve years of service, and fifteen days after twelve years of service. Vacation time must be used in the year it is earned. Upon termination from county employment, an employee is reimbursed for unused vacation for that year. These have not been subjected to auditing procedures.

Federal and State Assisted Programs

The County receives proceeds from several federal and state grants. Periodic audits of these grants are required and certain costs may be questioned. Such audits could result in refund of grant monies to the grantor agencies. Management believes that any required refunds will be immaterial. No provision has been made in the accompanying financial statements for the potential refund of grant monies.

Note 10 - Risk Management

The County is exposed to various risks of losses related to torts; theft of, damage to and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees and natural disasters, and has established a risk management strategy that attempts to minimize losses and the carrying costs of insurance. There have been no significant reductions in coverage from the prior year and settlements have not exceeded coverage in the past three years.

The County is a member participant in a public entity risk pool which is a corporate and political body created pursuant to state statute (Section 537.700 RSMo.). The purpose of the risk pool is to provide liability protection to participating public entities, their officials, and employees. Annual contributions are collected based on actuarial projections to produce sufficient funds to pay losses and expenses. Should contributions not produce sufficient funds to meet its obligations, the risk pool is empowered with the ability to make special assessments. Members are jointly and severally liable for all claims against the risk pool.

The County is also a member of the Missouri Association of Counties Self-Insured Workers' Compensation and Insurance Fund. The County purchases workers' compensation insurance through this Fund, a non-profit corporation established for the purpose of providing insurance coverage for Missouri counties. The Fund is self-insured up to \$500,000 per occurrence and is reinsured up to the statutory limit through excess insurance.

Note 11 - Related Party Transactions

The County provided \$637,775 in CARES Act funding to a local school where a Commissioner serves as the school board president. Funding was applied for through the County's grant application process, and the disbursements were approved by the other two members of the County Commission. The Commissioner with the conflict of interest abstained from voting on the disbursements.

Note 12 - Subsequent Events

The County has evaluated events subsequent to December 31, 2020 to assess the need for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. Such events have been evaluated through August 27, 2021, the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

On March 23, 2021, the County entered in to a \$539,820 lease purchase agreement for the purchase of two 2021 John Deere 772G Motor Graders. The agreement is payable in five annual payments of \$63,870 with one final payment of \$261,741 due on February 23, 2026. Interest is payable at 2.250%.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

To the County Commissioners and Officeholders of Cooper County, Missouri

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Cooper County, Missouri as of and for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Cooper County, Missouri's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated August 27, 2021.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Cooper County, Missouri's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Cooper County, Missouri's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Cooper County, Missouri's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2020-002 and 2020-003 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Cooper County, Missouri's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws,

regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed an instance of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*, and which is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2020-001.

Cooper County, Missouri's Response to Findings

Cooper County, Missouri's response to the findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Cooper County, Missouri's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Creve Coeur, Missouri

Stopp & Vantly

August 27, 2021



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM AND REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Independent Auditor's Report

To the County Commission and Officeholders of Cooper County, Missouri

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Cooper County, Missouri's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of Cooper County, Missouri's major federal programs for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019. Cooper County, Missouri's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of Cooper County, Missouri's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about Cooper County, Missouri's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of Cooper County, Missouri's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Cooper County, Missouri complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019.

Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance which are required to be reported in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as 2020-004 and 2020-005. Our opinion on each major federal program is not modified with respect to these matters.

Cooper County, Missouri's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Cooper County, Missouri's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of Cooper County, Missouri is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered Cooper County, Missouri's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Cooper County, Missouri's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we did identify a certain deficiency in internal control over compliance, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2020-004, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

Cooper County, Missouri's response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Cooper County, Missouri's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Stopp # Vartly Creve Coeur, Missouri

August 27, 2021

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Number	Federal Expenditures Year Ended December 31,		Awards Provided to Subrecipients Year Ended December 31,	
Grantor/Program Title			2020	2019	2020	2019
U.S. Department of the Interior						
Direct Program:						
Payments in Lieu of Taxes	15.226	n/a	11,854	11,603	-	-
U.S. Department of Justice						
Passed through state:						
Missouri Association of Prosecuting Attorneys -						
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	ER130200028	26,929	4,426	-	-
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575	ER130180028		21,899	<u> </u>	
			26,929	26,325	-	-
U.S. Department of Transportation Highway Planning and Construction Cluster Passed through state:						
Missouri Highways and Transportation Commission -						
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205	BRO-B027(018)	22,307	34,693		
Total Highway Planning and Construction Cluster			22,307	34,693	-	-
U.S. Department of Treasury Passed through state: Missouri State Treasurer's Office - COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund	21.019	n/a	1,804,889	-	1,778,345	-
Election Assistance Commission Passed through state: Missouri Secretary of State -						
COVID-19 HAVA Election Security Grants	90.404	n/a	22,471	-	-	-
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Passed through state: Missouri Department of Social Services -						
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	ER10220C023	223,853	-	-	-
Child Support Enforcement	93.563	ER10217C023		193,679	<u> </u>	<u>-</u>
			223,853	193,679	-	-

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal CFDA	Pass-Through Entity		Expenditures December 31,		ed to Subrecipients December 31,
Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	2020	2019	2020	2019
U.S. Department of Homeland Security						
Passed through state:						
Missouri Emergency Management Agency -						
Emergency Management Performance Grant	97.042	EMK-2020-EP-00004-33	50,429	-	-	
Emergency Management Performance Grant	97.042	EMK-2019-EP-00001-033	-	44,886	-	
			50,429	44,886	-	
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 2,162,732	\$ 311,186	\$ 1,778,345	\$

The County of Cooper Boonville, Missouri Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Purpose of Schedule and Reporting Entity

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards has been prepared to comply with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). This Uniform Guidance requires a schedule that provides total federal awards expended for each federal program and the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) number or other identifying number when the CFDA number is not available.

This schedule includes all federal awards administered by Cooper County, Missouri.

Basis of Presentation

The Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards includes these definitions, which govern the contents of the schedule:

Federal financial assistance means assistance that non-Federal entities receive or administer in the form of grants, loans, loan guarantees, property (including donated surplus property), cooperative agreements, interest subsidies, insurance, food commodities, direct appropriations, and other assistance, but does not include amounts received as reimbursement for services rendered to individuals.

Federal award means Federal financial assistance and Federal cost-reimbursement contracts that non-Federal entities receive directly from Federal awarding agencies or indirectly from pass-through entities. It does not include procurement contracts, under grants or contracts, used to buy goods or services from vendors.

Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of Cooper County, Missouri, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets, or cash flows of Cooper County, Missouri.

Basis of Accounting

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented on the cash basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowed or are limited as to reimbursement.

Federal expenditures are considered to have occurred when cash is disbursed for allowable expenditures.

Cooper County, Missouri has not elected to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate.

Note 2 - Donated Personal Protective Equipment

Cooper County received no donated personal protective equipment which had been purchased with federal funds. This footnote is unaudited.

The County of Cooper Boonville, Missouri Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Note 3 - Related Party Transactions

The County provided \$637,775 in CARES Act funding to a local school where a Commissioner serves as the school board president. Funding was applied for through the County's grant application process, and the disbursements were approved by the other two members of the County Commission. The Commissioner with the conflict of interest abstained from voting on the disbursements.

The County of Cooper Boonville, Missouri Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Section 1 - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements				
Type of auditor's report issued	Unmodified Regulatory Basis			
Internal control over financial reporting:				
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes X No			
Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses?	X YesNone Reported			
Any noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	X YesNo			
Federal Awards				
Internal control over major programs:				
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes X No			
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?	X YesNone Reported			
Type of auditor's report issued on	77 110 1			
compliance for major programs:	Unmodified			
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 2 CFR section 200.516(a)?	X			
Identification of Major Programs:				
CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program or Cluster			
21.019	COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund			
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$ 750,000			
Auditee qualified or low risk auditee?	Vec V No			

The County of Cooper Boonville, Missouri Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Section 2 - Financial Statement Findings

2020-001 **Criteria:** Missouri statutes requires Counties to prepare an annual budget as applicable to each fund. Expenditures are not to exceed the budget and funds are not to be budgeted at a deficit.

Condition: During the audit, it was noted that the County was not in compliance with Missouri budgetary state statute Chapter 50 RSMo. The following funds had actual expenditures that exceeded budgeted expenditures in 2020: Assessment Fund and Overton Wooldridge Levee Fund. The following funds had actual expenditures that exceeded the budgeted expenditures in 2019: Local Law Enforcement Block Grant Fund. The following funds did not have a budget prepared in 2020: Adult Abuse Fund, Administrative Handling Cost Fund, CARES Act Election Grant Fund, and COVID-19 Relief Grant Fund. The following funds did not have a budget prepared in 2019: Adult Abuse Fund and Law Enforcement Restitution Fund. The following fund was budgeted at a deficit in 2019: Enhanced 911 Fund.

Cause: Oversight

Effect: Due to exceeding budget in certain funds, failing to prepare a budget for certain funds, and having a negative fund balance in a certain fund, the County is in violation of Missouri Revised Statutes.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County periodically review its actual expenditures as compared to budgeted amounts. An amended budget should be prepared and approved as necessary to comply with statutes. Additionally, the County should prepare a budget for all applicable funds which does not exceed available fund balances including estimated revenues for the year.

Management's Response: As budget officer, I, as County Clerk make recommendations to the County Commission. It is up to the County Commission to approve or adjust those amounts. The County Commission reviews the line items and expenditures so as to be within compliance with State Statute.

2020-002 **Criteria:** Antifraud programs and controls are the policies and procedures put in place by an organization to help ensure that management directives are carried out. They are part of the overall system of internal control established to achieve reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Condition: During our audit, we noted there is no formal fraud risk assessment in place.

Cause: Management has not prepared documentation of risk assessments, including identifying risks and mitigating controls.

Effect: Lack of an appropriate fraud risk assessment process may result in certain risks not being identified by County's management. Opportunities to commit and conceal a fraud or irregularity may go undetected by management without proper assessment procedures.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County address various risks in the environment, including risk of fraud occurring by performing assessments to identify, analyze, and manage these risks.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Section 2 - Financial Statement Findings (continued)

2020-002 **Management's Response**: Within the office of the County Clerk, while not having a formal written document, I (cont.) have met with my staff routinely over fraud prevention and risk. I've had a cyber security firm analyze my office for risk and set in place preventions in 2019. I will speak with the County Commission about doing the same for the entire courthouse. I will also speak to them about adopting a formal document for management to have standards in place for themselves as well as any staff.

2020-003 Criteria: Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 115, Communicating Internal Control Related Matters Identified in an Audit, which is effective for periods ending on or after December 15, 2009, as amended by SAS No. 122, Statements on Auditing Standards: Clarification and Recodification, considers inadequate documentation of the components of internal control to be at least a significant deficiency.

Condition: Documentation of the County's internal controls has not been prepared.

Cause: Management has not prepared documentation of internal controls.

Effect: Without documented internal controls, the County may not be able to ensure that controls are in place, communicated and operating effectively.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County develop the required internal control documentation. In addition, we recommend studying the COSO internal control guidance and tools as a means to begin the process. Once this documentation is complete, those charged with governance have a responsibility to understand the controls and ensure they are operating effectively.

Management's Response: In reviewing other county's written internal control documents, Cooper County is operating in a manner stated in such documents, however, not written out. I, as County Clerk, will work with the County Commission in developing such governance in a written form.

Section 3 - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

2020-004 Federal Grantor: All Programs

Pass-Through Grantor: All Programs Federal CFDA Number: All Programs Program Title: All Programs

Criteria: Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200 requires auditees to prepare an accurate Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) containing awards expended, CFDA title and number, award number, name of the Federal agency, and name of pass-through entity.

Condition: The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) contained errors. The County's current internal controls over SEFA reporting are not sufficient enough to ensure correct SEFA reporting.

Cause: Management did not follow reporting requirements related to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Effect: Federal expenditures reported in the SEFA were incorrect.

The County of Cooper Boonville, Missouri Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Section 3 - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

2020-004 **Context:** This finding is a repeat finding and was reported in the previous audit as finding SA 2016-001. (cont.)

Recommendation: We recommend management develop internal controls over reporting and consult with outside accountants, if possible, to ensure an accurate SEFA is prepared.

Management's Response: The County Clerk's office will work with the Treasurer's office so that these stated recommendations and findings are resolved in future audits.

2020-005 Federal Grantor: U.S. Department of Treasury

Pass-Through Grantor: Missouri State Treasurer's Office

Federal CFDA Number: 21.019

Program Title: COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund

Award Year: 2020

Compliance Requirement: Allowable Costs Known Questioned Costs: \$ 33,441

Criteria: Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200 requires recipients of Federal awards to comply with laws, regulations, and provisions of contract or grant agreements related to each of its Federal programs. Records must support the disbursements made in accordance with guidance provided by the Department of the Treasury.

Condition: During the audit, it was noted that the County made improper payments to a subrecipient in the amount of \$33,441. Subrecipients of the Coronavirus Relief Fund applied for grant funding from the County on a cost reimbursement basis. Two grant applications were processed by the County which contained duplicate invoices, resulting in an overpayment for expenditures.

Cause: Oversight

Effect: Applications for duplicate cost reimbursement requests were processed resulting in disallowed costs.

Recommendation: We recommend management strengthen internal controls over review and approval of COVID-19 Coronavirus Relief Fund applications to ensure that duplicate payments are not made. It was noted that the County did discover the error after the end of the fiscal year, and as such, the funds have since been returned by the subrecipient.

Management's Response: The County Commission will work to strengthen the internal controls that ultimately did identify the errors in the subrecipient's application. The Commission appreciates the acknowledgement by the auditor that the correction had been made prior to the audit.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, this section reports the auditor's follow-up on action taken by Cooper County, Missouri, on the applicable findings in the prior audit report issued for the two years ended December 31, 2016 & 2015.

Prior Year Financial Statement Findings

FS 2016- Criteria: Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 115, Communicating Internal Control Related Matters

1001 Identified in an Audit, which is effective for periods ending on or after December 15, 2009, as amended by SAS No. 122, Statements on Auditing Standards: Clarification and Recodification, considers inadequate documentation of the components of internal control to be at least a significant deficiency.

Condition: Documentation of the County's internal controls has not been prepared.

Context: During discussions with management, we noted that internal control documentation has not been prepared.

Effect: Without documented internal controls, the County may not be able to ensure that controls are in place, communicated and operating effectively.

Cause: The County did not prepare the required documentation.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County develop the required internal control documentation. In addition, we recommend studying the COSO internal control guidance and tools as a means to begin the process. Once this documentation is complete, those charged with governance have a responsibility to understand the controls and ensure they are operating effectively.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: Cooper County will work at developing an internal control document to ensure that controls are in place, communicated and operating effectively. The County Commission can be reached at the phone number (660) 882-2228.

Status: Management has not corrected this issue, therefore, this finding is repeated as 2020-003 in the current year.

FS 2016- Criteria: Antifraud programs and controls are the policies and procedures put in place by an organization to help ensure that management directives are carried out. They are part of the overall system of internal control established to achieve reliability of financial reporting, effectiveness and efficiency of operations, and compliance with applicable laws and regulations.

Condition: During our audit, we noted there is no formal fraud risk assessment in place.

Context: During discussions with management, we noted there were no formal fraud risk assessments implemented.

Effect: Lack of an appropriate fraud risk assessment process may result in certain risks not being identified by County's management. Opportunities to commit and conceal a fraud or irregularity may go undetected by management without proper assessment procedures.

Cause: Management has not prepared documentation of risk assessments, including identifying risks and mitigating controls.

Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs

For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Prior Year Financial Statement Findings (continued)

FS 2016- **Recommendation:** We recommend that the County address various risks in the environment, including risk of fraud occurring by performing assessments to identify, analyze, and manage these risks.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: Cooper County will work to implement a formal fraud risk assessment. Then follow with assessments to identify, analyze and manage risks. The County Commission can be reached at the phone number (660) 882-2228.

Status: Management has not corrected this issue, therefore, this finding is repeated as 2020-002 in the current year.

Prior Year Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

SA 2016- Federal Grantor: U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Department of Justice, U.S. Department of Health

001 and Human Services, and U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Pass-Through Grantor: Missouri Department of Public Safety, Missouri Department of Social Services,

Missouri State Emergency Management Agency

Federal CFDA Number: 15.226, 16.575, 16.607, 16.738, 93.563, 97.042

Program Title: PILT - Payment in Lieu of Taxes, Crime Victim Assistance, Bulletproof Vest Grant

Program, Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Grant Program, Child Support Enforcement,

and Emergency Management Performance Grants

Award Year: 2016 and 2015

Type of Finding: Other Information - Significant Deficiency

Information on the federal program: The Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards contained errors.

Criteria: The Uniform Guidance requires the auditee to prepare the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

Condition: Through auditing procedures, we were able to correct and support the amounts reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA). Prior to these corrections, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) was prepared with incorrect financial information.

Questioned Costs: Not applicable

Context: During the audit of federal programs, we discovered a number of errors related to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA).

Effect: No control monitoring or control over federal expenditures reporting, as required by *Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards*.

Cause: Adequate emphasis was not placed on the preparation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA).

The County of Cooper Boonville, Missouri Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Prior Year Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

SA 2016Recommendation: The County should implement procedures to ensure that the SEFA is prepared in accordance with federal requirements. The County should continue in its efforts to establish an accounting system that will capture grant transactions in a manner sufficient to readily report the necessary information required on the SEFA. The cost center listing that identifies federal expenditures should be defined and cross matched in a table that is updated monthly by a designee of the County Clerk's office.

Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: The County Clerk (Darryl Kempf) will work with the County accounting support management to develop a system to identify the federal expenditures that are required to be reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. The County Commission can be reached at the phone number (660) 882-2228.

Status: Management has not corrected this issue, therefore, this finding is repeated as 2020-004 in the current year.

SA 2016-

002 Federal Grantor: All

Pass-Through Grantor:AllFederal CFDA Number:AllProgram Title:AllPass-Through EntityAll

Identification Number

Award Year: 2015 and 2016

Type of Finding: Other Information - Significant Deficiency

Information on the federal program: The County must establish and maintain effective internal control over federal awards.

Criteria: Uniform Guidance requires the auditee to document internal controls over each federal program.

Condition: During the walkthroughs of the County, we noted there is not documentation over internal controls with regards to federal awards in place.

Questioned Costs: Not applicable

Context: During the audit of federal programs, we noted there was not adequate internal control documentation of each federal program.

Effect: Not documenting internal controls over federal compliance requirements could result in the noncompliance of a major component of the federal program.

Cause: Adequate emphasis was not placed on the documentation of internal controls required under the *Uniform Guidance*.

Recommendation: We recommend that the County formally document internal controls over federal programs in accordance with the *Uniform Guidance*.

The County of Cooper Boonville, Missouri Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs For the years ended December 31, 2020 & 2019

Prior Year Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs (continued)

SA 2016- Views of responsible officials and planned corrective actions: The County Clerk (Darryl Kempf) is in the process of preparing the needed documentation to document their internal control structure in conformity with the Uniform Guidance. The Clerk expects to complete this by the next audit period. The Clerk's office can be reached at (660) 882-2228.

Status: This finding is no longer applicable.

Sarah Herman, County Clerk Holly Brady, Deputy Clerk Jerrid Richards, Deputy Clerk



200 Main St., Rm. 23 Boonville, MO 65233-1276

660-882-2114

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-001

Planned Corrective Action: As budget officer, I, as County Clerk make recommendations to the County Commission. It is up to the County Commission to approve or adjust those amounts. The County Commission reviews the line items and expenditures so as to be within compliance with State Statute.

Name of Contact Person: County Clerk, Sarah Herman. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-002

Planned Corrective Action: Within the office of the County Clerk, while not having a formal written document, I have met with my staff routinely over fraud prevention and risk. I've had a cyber security firm analyze my office for risk and set in place preventions in 2019. I will speak with the County Commission about doing the same for the entire courthouse. I will also speak to them about adopting a formal document for management to have standards in place for themselves as well as any staff.

Name of Contact Person: County Clerk, Sarah Herman. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-003

Planned Corrective Action: In reviewing other county's written internal control documents, Cooper County is operating in a manner stated in such documents, however, not written out. I, as County Clerk, will work with the County Commission in developing such governance in a written form.

Name of Contact Person: County Clerk, Sarah Herman. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-004

Planned Corrective Action: The County Clerk's office will work with the Treasurer's office so that these stated recommendations and findings are resolved in future audits.

Name of Contact Person: County Clerk, Sarah Herman and County Treasurer, Marcia Imhoff. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.

Audit Finding Reference: 2020-005

Planned Corrective Action: The County Commission will work to strengthen the internal controls that ultimately did identify the errors in the subrecipient's application. The Commission appreciates the acknowledgement by the auditor that the correction had been made prior to the audit.

Name of Contact Person: County Commissioners Don Baragary, Charlie Melkersman, and Danny Larm. Expected completion date is December 31, 2021.

Sarah-Hernan