

**Missouri State Auditor** 

## Findings in the audit of the Grandview Contract License Office

Background	The Department of Revenue (DOR) has appointed 177 contract agents to operate contract license offices across the state. These offices process transactions to issue driver licenses; titles for motor vehicles, trailers, and marine craft; and license plates. Contract agents are compensated through transaction-based processing fees. Under a state law, which became effective in 2009, the state auditor may audit contract license offices.
Sales Tax Transactions	Contract license offices collect sales and use taxes based upon the purchase price of the vehicle being titled, less any applicable credits. DOR procedures require the offices to retain documentation to support the net purchase price and requires office staff to use a DOR approved source to determine the estimated fair market value if the documentation is not sufficient or the purchase price is questionably low. License office personnel did not retain sufficient documentation to support the purchase price for 2 of 60 transactions (3 percent) reviewed. Personnel also erroneously entered an incorrect purchase price into the computerized system for 1 of 60 (2 percent) transactions reviewed, resulting in a reduction in taxes collected.
Prepayment Void Transactions	Prepayment void transactions occur when transactions are voided before payment is made, such as when the customer lacks sufficient funds or the entry has incorrect information. DOR procedures require customer acknowledgement of a void transaction if a new one is not completed or is for a lesser amount. License office personnel did not obtain customer acknowledgment documentation for 2 of 6 applicable prepayment void transactions that occurred from March 16 to March 18, 2016.
Accounting Controls and Procedures	The license office did not always accurately record the method of payment (cash, check, or credit card) and did not reconcile the composition of monies received to deposits. The composition of receipts did not match the composition of deposits for 3 deposits reviewed, and the office did not record the method of payment on 2 manual receipt slips reviewed.
Contract Compliance	License office staff did not properly maintain the perpetual inventory records for 1 of 25 inventory items reviewed.

In the areas audited, the overall performance of this entity was **Fair**.\*

\*The rating(s) cover only audited areas and do not reflect an opinion on the overall operation of the entity. Within that context, the rating scale indicates the following:

- **Excellent:** The audit results indicate this entity is very well managed. The report contains no findings. In addition, if applicable, prior recommendations have been implemented.
- **Good:** The audit results indicate this entity is well managed. The report contains few findings, and the entity has indicated most or all recommendations have already been, or will be, implemented. In addition, if applicable, many of the prior recommendations have been implemented.
- **Fair:** The audit results indicate this entity needs to improve operations in several areas. The report contains several findings, or one or more findings that require management's immediate attention, and/or the entity has indicated several recommendations will not be implemented. In addition, if applicable, several prior recommendations have not been implemented.
- **Poor:** The audit results indicate this entity needs to significantly improve operations. The report contains numerous findings that require management's immediate attention, and/or the entity has indicated most recommendations will not be implemented. In addition, if applicable, most prior recommendations have not been implemented.

## All reports are available on our Web site: auditor.mo.gov