



Nicole R. Galloway, CPA
Missouri State Auditor

CITIZENS SUMMARY

Findings in the audit of the St. Charles Contract License Office

Background	The Department of Revenue (DOR) has appointed 178 contract agents to operate contract license offices across the state. These offices issue driver licenses; titles for motor vehicles, trailers, and marine craft; and license plates. Contract agents are compensated through transaction-based processing fees. Under a state law, which became effective in 2009, the state auditor may audit contract license offices.
Sales Tax Transactions	Contract license offices collect sales and use taxes based upon the purchase price of the vehicle being titled, less any applicable credits. DOR procedures require the offices to retain documentation to support the net purchase price. License office personnel did not ensure sales tax transactions were properly entered into the computerized system for 2 transactions reviewed, resulting in over collection of sales tax for these transactions.
Accounting Controls and Procedures	The license office did not always accurately record the method of payment (cash, check, or credit card) and did not reconcile the composition of monies received to deposits. The composition of receipts did not match the composition of deposits for 3 deposits reviewed.
No Fee Identification Log	No fee identification cards (IDs) are issued at no cost to the ID holder to replace previously purchased IDs that printed illegibly or contained a misspelled name. Our review found 2 of the 11 no fee IDs issued between April 1 and May 8, 2015, were not listed on the office log, as required by the DOR.

In the areas audited, the overall performance of this entity was **Good**.*

*The rating(s) cover only audited areas and do not reflect an opinion on the overall operation of the entity. Within that context, the rating scale indicates the following:

- Excellent:** The audit results indicate this entity is very well managed. The report contains no findings. In addition, if applicable, prior recommendations have been implemented.
- Good:** The audit results indicate this entity is well managed. The report contains few findings, and the entity has indicated most or all recommendations have already been, or will be, implemented. In addition, if applicable, many of the prior recommendations have been implemented.
- Fair:** The audit results indicate this entity needs to improve operations in several areas. The report contains several findings, or one or more findings that require management's immediate attention, and/or the entity has indicated several recommendations will not be implemented. In addition, if applicable, several prior recommendations have not been implemented.
- Poor:** The audit results indicate this entity needs to significantly improve operations. The report contains numerous findings that require management's immediate attention, and/or the entity has indicated most recommendations will not be implemented. In addition, if applicable, most prior recommendations have not been implemented.

All reports are available on our Web site: auditor.mo.gov