MISSOURI STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE FISCAL NOTE (16-037)

Subject

Initiative petition from Winston Apple regarding a proposed constitutional amendment to Article III. (Received April 9, 2015)

Date

April 29, 2015

Description

This proposal would amend Article III of the Missouri Constitution.

The amendment is to be voted on in November 2016.

Public comments and other input

The State Auditor's office requested input from the Attorney General's office, the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Economic Development, the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, the Department of Higher Education, the Department of Health and Senior Services, the Department of Insurance, Financial Institutions and Professional Registration, the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Natural Resources, the Department of Corrections, the Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, the Department of Revenue, the Department of Public Safety, the Department of Social Services, the Governor's office, the Missouri House of Representatives, the Department of Conservation, the Department of Transportation, the Office of Administration, the Office of State Courts Administrator, the Missouri Senate, the Secretary of State's office, the Office of the State Public Defender, the State Treasurer's office, Adair County, Boone County, Callaway County, Cass County, Clay County, Cole County, Greene County, Jackson County Legislators, Jasper County, St. Charles County, St. Louis County, Taney County, the City of Cape Girardeau, the City of Columbia, the City of Jefferson, the City of Joplin, the City of Kansas City, the City of Kirksville, the City of Mexico, the City of Raymore, the City of St. Joseph, the City of St. Louis, the City of Springfield, the City of Union, the City of Wentzville, the City of West Plains, Cape Girardeau 63 School District, Hannibal 60 School District, State Technical College of Missouri, Metropolitan Community College, University of Missouri, St. Louis Community College, St. Louis County Election Board, St. Louis City Election Board, Kansas City Election Board, Platte County Board of Elections, Jackson County Election Board, and Clay County Election Board.

Assumptions

Officials from the **Attorney General's office** indicated they assume that any potential costs arising from the adoption of this proposal can be absorbed with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Economic Development** indicated no impact for their department.

Officials from the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** indicated no impact on their department.

Officials from the **Department of Higher Education** indicated this initiative petition would have no direct fiscal impact on their department.

Officials from the **Department of Health and Senior Services** indicated no fiscal impact on their department.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance**, **Financial Institutions and Professional Registration** indicated this petition, if passed, will have no cost or savings to their department.

Officials from the **Department of Mental Health** indicated this proposal creates no direct obligations or requirements to their department that would result in a fiscal impact.

Officials from the **Department of Natural Resources** indicated they would not anticipate a direct fiscal impact from this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections** indicated no impact.

Officials from the **Department of Labor and Industrial Relations** indicated no fiscal impact on their department.

Officials from the **Department of Revenue** indicated this initiative petition will have no fiscal impact on their department.

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety** indicated they see no fiscal impact due to this legislation.

Officials from the **Department of Social Services** indicated there is no fiscal impact on their department.

Officials from the **Governor's office** indicated there should be no fiscal impact to their office

Officials from the **Missouri House of Representatives** indicated if passed, this initiative petition would, starting 2019, the first regular session of the 100th general assembly,

reduce the size of the House from 163 to 50 (reduction of 113 members). Savings calculated using today's values:

Member salary savings: $113 \times $35,915 = $4,058,395$ Member \$700 account savings: $113 \times $8,400 = $949,200$

Legislative assistant reduction savings: $113 \times $29,500 = $3,333,500$

Session mileage savings: 19 weeks x average 270 miles x 0.37 per mile x 113 =

\$214,485

Session per diem savings: 70 days x \$103.20 x 113 = \$816,312

Total estimated savings: \$9,371,892

Officials from the **Department of Conservation** indicated that no adverse fiscal impact to their department would be expected as a result of this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of Administration** indicated:

The proposal amends Article III, Section 2 of the Missouri Constitution by reducing the size of the House of Representatives from 163 to 50 and changing the way Representatives are apportioned. This will begin with the November 2018 general election.

This proposal will have no impact on their office.

NOTE: There appears to be a drafting error in the second sentence of the fifth paragraph of the new language. It states "cent of the vote in the preceding general election, may qualify to place for a place on the ballot by". The underlined words appear to be incorrectly included in the sentence.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** indicated there is no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Missouri Senate** indicated no fiscal impact on their office.

Officials from the Secretary of State's office indicated:

This proposal will require changes be made regarding elections in the State of Missouri. These changes will likely include: the re-programing of voting machines, changes to the Missouri Centralized Voter Registration system, and postage costs for absentee ballots. Each of these changes is likely to include additional costs which must be covered by both the State of Missouri and local election authorities. Under this proposal, the State and local election authorities are also likely to incur additional costs associated with petition signature verification. Their office contacted vendors regarding the potential costs of these changes. Vendors were unable to provide an estimate of these potential costs. There is also the possibility of a special statewide primary election. If necessary, a special statewide primary election would have an estimated cost of \$7.1 million (based on the cost of the 2012 Presidential Preference Primary).

Their office is required to pay for publishing in local newspapers the full text of each statewide ballot measure as directed by Article XII, Section 2(b) of the Missouri Constitution and Section 116.230-116.290, RSMo. Their office is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. Funding for this item is adjusted each year depending upon the election cycle with \$1.3 million historically appropriated in odd numbered fiscal years and \$100,000 appropriated in even numbered fiscal years to meet these requirements. Through FY (fiscal year) 2013, the appropriation had historically been an estimated appropriation because the final cost is dependent upon the number of ballot measures approved by the General Assembly and the initiative petitions certified for the ballot. In FY 2013, at the August and November elections, there were 5 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$2.17 million to publish (an average of \$434,000 per issue). In FY 2015, the General Assembly changed the appropriation so that it was no longer an estimated appropriation and their office was appropriated \$1.19 million to publish the full text of the measures. Due to this reduced funding, their office reduced the scope of the publication of these measures. In FY 2015, at the August and November elections, there were 9 statewide Constitutional Amendments or ballot propositions that cost \$1.1 million to publish (an average of \$122,000 per issue). Despite the FY 2015 reduction, their office will continue to assume, for the purposes of this fiscal note, that it should have the full appropriation authority it needs to meet the publishing requirements. Because these requirements are mandatory, they reserve the right to request funding to meet the cost of their publishing requirements if the Governor and the General Assembly again change the amount or continue to not designate it as an estimated appropriation.

Officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender** indicated this initiative petition will not have any substantial impact on their office.

Officials from the **State Treasurer's office** indicated no impact to their office.

Officials from **Greene County** indicated there are no estimated costs or savings to report from their county for this initiative petition.

Officials from the **City of Jefferson** indicated they expect no fiscal impact if this initiative petition becomes law.

Officials from the **City of Raymore** indicated no fiscal impact.

Officials from the **University of Missouri** indicated this initiative petition would not have a significant fiscal impact on their university.

Officials from **St. Louis City Election Board** indicated there does not appear to be any substantial cost or savings for their board regarding the proposed constitutional amendment.

Officials from **Platte County Board of Elections** indicated they expect no increased cost directly related to the approval of the proposed petition to their board.

The State Auditor's office did not receive a response from the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Transportation, Adair County, Boone County, Callaway County, Cass County, Clay County, Cole County, Jackson County Legislators, Jasper County, St. Charles County, St. Louis County, Taney County, the City of Cape Girardeau, the City of Columbia, the City of Joplin, the City of Kansas City, the City of Kirksville, the City of Mexico, the City of St. Joseph, the City of St. Louis, the City of Springfield, the City of Union, the City of Wentzville, the City of West Plains, Cape Girardeau 63 School District, Hannibal 60 School District, State Technical College of Missouri, Metropolitan Community College, St. Louis Community College, St. Louis County Election Board, Kansas City Election Board, Jackson County Election Board, and Clay County Election Board.

Fiscal Note Summary

Reduced legislative operating costs would result in annual estimated savings to state government of at least \$9.3 million. An unknown change in election related costs is expected for state and local governments.